701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITB9993

Chapter XI

Understanding the Hidden Dissatisfaction of Users Toward End User Computing

Nancy C. Shaw, George Mason University, USA

Joo-Eng Lee-Partridge, Central Connecticut State University, USA

James S.K. Ang, National University of Singapore, Singapore

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research is to examine satisfied and dissatisfied end users in an organization to determine if they hold different technological frames of reference towards end user computing (EUC). This research examines the effectiveness of the computer systems at the organization, while at the same time measuring the level of end user satisfaction with the EUC environment. Grounded theory techniques for qualitative analysis of interviews were used to assess the technological frames of reference of selected highly satisfied and highly dissatisfied users. While analysis of the satisfaction surveys alone indicated that the user population was generally satisfied with their EUC environment, follow-up interviews and service quality gap analysis highlighted several individual support areas that required remedial action. In addition, satisfied and dissatisfied users held different views or technological

This chapter appears in the book, *Advanced Topics in End User Computing, Volume 3*, edited by M. Adam Mahmood. Copyright © 2004, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

frames of reference towards the technology they used. Their frames of reference affected their expectations of the technology, their interactions with the MIS support staff, and their utilization of the technology on a day-to-day basis.

INTRODUCTION

This research examines the different views and perspectives of individuals in an organization towards end-user computing (EUC) and EUC support and how those views can affect end-user satisfaction. End-user satisfaction has long been used as an important surrogate measure of information system success (Zmud, 1979; Doll & Torkzadeh, 1988; DeLone & McLean, 1992; Torkzadeh & Doll, 1993; Buyukkurt & Vass, 1993; Henry & Stone, 1994; Guimaraes & Igbaria, 1994; Mirani & King, 1994; Seddon, 1997; Blili et al., 1998; Foong, 1999; Mahmood et al., 2000; Aladwani, 2002, Shaw et al., 2002). End user satisfaction is a perceptual or subjective measure of system success, serving as a substitute for objective determinants of information systems effectiveness (Ives et al., 1983).

We are interested in how an individual's view or perspective can affect end-user satisfaction. In social cognitive research, views and perspectives, also known as frames of reference, have been used to explain an individual's mental processes. A few studies in the IS area have been conducted to understand the views or attitudes individuals hold towards technology (Bostrom & Heinen, 1977; Dagwell & Weber, 1983; Noble, 1986; Pinch & Bijker, 1987; Kumar & Bjorn-Anderson, 1989; Jawaher & Elango, 2001). The term "technological frame of reference" was introduced by Orlikowski & Gash (1994) to describe the underlying assumptions, expectations, and knowledge that people have about technology.

In the current study, we extend the idea of technological frame of reference to assess the views users hold towards EUC. In particular, we are interested in determining if satisfied and dissatisfied users hold different views of the technology, and, ultimately, if those different views influence their satisfaction with that technology. In particular, we examine the effectiveness of end-user support in an organization, the satisfaction of end users with that support and the technological frames of reference of those users. By concentrating on the differences between satisfied and dissatisfied end users, we hope to deepen our understanding of end user satisfaction and dissatisfaction so as to identify contributory factors that lead to dissatisfaction.

We use a combination of quantitative and qualitative analysis in our case study. An instrument measuring end user satisfaction was used to assess the satisfaction of individual users with the overall EUC environment, and service quality gap analysis was used to measure the effectiveness of the support organization in the organization. Grounded theory techniques (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) were used in the qualitative analysis of interviews to assess the frames of reference of selected satisfied and dissatisfied users.

22 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/understanding-hidden-dissatisfactionusers-towards/4464

Related Content

Personal and Situational Factors as Predictors of End User Performance

I. M. Jawahar (2003). Advanced Topics in End User Computing, Volume 2 (pp. 64-84).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/personal-situational-factors-predictors-end/4444

Assessing User Computing Effectiveness: An Integrated Model

Tor Guimaraesand Magid Igbaria (1997). *Journal of End User Computing (pp. 3-15)*. www.irma-international.org/article/assessing-user-computing-effectiveness/55738

Developers, Quality Control and Download Volume in Open Source Software (OSS) Projects

Geoffrey Hill, Pratim Dattaand Candice Vander Weerdt (2017). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (pp. 43-66).*

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/article/developers-quality-control-and-download-volume-in-open-source-software-oss-projects/175812$

Trust in E-Commerce: Consideration of Interface Design Factors

Ye Diana Wangand Henry H. Emurian (2008). *End-User Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 771-788).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/trust-commerce-consideration-interface-design/18220

Users Behaving Badly: Phenomena and Paradoxes from an Investigation into Information Systems Misfit

Panagiotis Kanellisand Ray J. Paul (2007). *Contemporary Issues in End User Computing (pp. 216-247).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/users-behaving-badly/7038