

IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com **ITB9005**

Chapter III

Internet Privacy: Interpreting Key Issues

Gurpreet S. Dhillon University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA

Trevor T. Moores University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA

ABSTRACT

The phenomenal growth in Internet commerce in recent years has brought privacy concerns to the fore. Although privacy as a concept has been well understood with respect to brick and motor businesses, there is limited research in identifying major issues of concern related to Internet privacy. This paper systematically identifies the major Internet privacy concerns. Datafor the study was collected through two panels and subjective evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

The Internet has transformed the way in which goods are bought and sold. Forrester Research predicts retail sales on the Internet to grow from less than 1% in 1999 to 6% by 2003. According to Gartner Group, convenience and time saved are two of the main incentives for users to buy online. At the same time, however, research conducted by PriceWaterhouseCoopers suggests that during the 1999 Christmas season, 18% of all customers who purchased online were 'dissatisfied' with their experience. A Business Week/Harris Poll (see *Business Week* of March 20, 2000) survey reported that 41% of online shoppers were very concerned over the use of personal information. Among the people who go online but have not shopped, 63% were very concerned. Clearly, as Keeney (1999) suggests, maximizing privacy is a fundamental objective related to Internet commerce.

The purpose of this paper is to identify issues related to maximizing Internet privacy. The paper is organized into five sections. Following a brief introduction, section two explores the notion of Internet privacy and how various researchers have attempted to understand the concept. Section three presents the study design. Section four is a discussion of research findings. Section five presents the conclusions.

INTERNET PRIVACY

Internet privacy can be defined as the seclusion and freedom from unauthorized intrusion. The key word in the definition is 'unauthorized.' Although we may not like that our personal information regarding our purchases and habits to be monitored and stored in databases around the country, we are at least usually aware that it's happening. However an unauthorized intrusion to collect personal data marks the beginning of privacy infringement. Various opinion polls have shown increasing levels of privacy concerns (Equifax, 1990, 1992). The 1992 Equifax study reports a survey indicating nearly 79% of the Americans being concerned about personal privacy and 55% suggesting that security of personal information was bound to get worse by year 2000. Indeed this has happened. Fairweather and Rogerson (2000) report that it is technically easier than ever before to gather and search vast amounts of personal data. Hence it has become easy to track individuals across the globe as they leave the data shadow behind — through the use of gas stations, cash machines, logging on to check e-mail.

A March 1999 Federal Trade Commission (FTC) survey of 361 Web sites revealed that 92.8% of the sites were collecting at least one type of identifying information, such as an address. Furthermore 56.8% of the sites were collecting at least one type of demographic information. The FTC study also found that over one third of the sites did not have a privacy disclosure notice on the site. Even in cases where the privacy disclosure notice had been posted, only 13.6% were following the FTC's fair information practice guidelines. 8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/internet-privacy-interpreting-key-</u> issues/4597

Related Content

Hybrid Offshoring: Composite Personae and Evolving Collaboration Technologies

Nathan Denny, Shivram Mani, Ravi Sheshu Nadella, Manish Swaminathanand Jamie Samdal (2008). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 89-104).* www.irma-international.org/article/hybrid-offshoring-composite-personae-evolving/1335

Siemens: Expanding the Knowledge Management System ShareNet to Research & Development

Hauke Heier, Hans P. Borgmanand Andreas Manuth (2006). *Cases on Information Technology: Lessons Learned, Volume* 7 (*pp. 370-387*). www.irma-international.org/chapter/siemens-expanding-knowledge-management-system/6399

Analyzing the Quality of Virtual Teams

Robert M. Verburg, J. H. Erik Andriessenand Joris P.G. de Rooij (2005). Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition (pp. 117-122). www.irma-international.org/chapter/analyzing-quality-virtual-teams/14221

Virtual Teams as Sociotechnical Systems

Haydee M. Cuevas, Stephen M. Fiore, Eduardo Salasand Clint A. Bowers (2005). Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition (pp. 3007-3012). www.irma-international.org/chapter/virtual-teams-sociotechnical-systems/14734

An Auction-Based Incentivized Solution Against DDoS Attacks

B. B. Guptaand Amrita Dahiya (2021). *Journal of Information Technology Research* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-auction-based-incentivized-solution-against-ddosattacks/271404