



## **Chapter IX**

# **A Comparison of the Perceived Importance of Information Systems Development Strategies by Developers from the United States and Korea**

Chung S. Kim, Southwest Missouri State University, USA

Dane K. Peterson, Southwest Missouri State University, USA

### **ABSTRACT**

*This study examined the perceptions of Information Systems (IS) developers from the U.S. and Korea with regards to the strategies that are considered crucial for IS success. The results of a principal component analysis revealed that the IS development strategies could be classified into four categories: (1) Organizational Integration, (2) Team Member Characteristics, (3) Project Leader Traits, and (4) Project Development Management. ANOVA results indicated that developers from both countries viewed Organizational Integration as the most important component and*

This chapter appears in the book, *Advanced Topics in Information Resources Management*, Volume 3, edited by Mehdi Khosrow-Pour. Copyright © 2004, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

*Project Development Management as the least important component. However, while IS developers in the U.S. viewed Team Member Characteristics as the second most important component, Korean IS developers rated the Project Leader Traits as the second most important component. Moreover, the IS developers from the U.S. rated Organizational Integration and Team Member Characteristics as significantly more important than did the IS developers from Korea. The results were discussed in terms of Hofstede's model of national culture.*

## INTRODUCTION

In spite of significant technological advances and years of application experience, the development of information systems remains a difficult process plagued with uncertainty (Liebowitz, 1999). While many systems development projects have been successful, many others have failed (Clegg et al., 1998). Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate various development strategies that are associated with IS success (e.g., Abdul-Gader, 1997; Baker, Murphy & Fisher, 1983; Cleland & King, 1983). Most of these studies have been confined to data collected in the U.S. and other countries with a similar culture. However, the results of investigations conducted in the U.S. regarding the usefulness of various IS development strategies may not be relevant in a global environment.

It has been suggested that as corporations develop and implement global IS, it may be necessary to modify their operating procedures to accommodate the customs of IS developers in the host country (Katz & Townsend, 2000). Thus, a pertinent issue is, how do the IS development strategies believed to be most important in the U.S. compare with the strategies considered to be most important in other nations and other cultures? An understanding of national and cultural differences and similarities with respect to the perceived importance of IS development strategies could prove to be extremely valuable to managers of global IS.

Not only has most of the research on IS development strategies been conducted in nations characterized by a Western culture, but most of the investigations have assessed the value of IS development strategies based on the views of users. That is, measures such as user satisfaction, system usage, and the perceived benefits of the system as assessed by users are typically employed to determine the effectiveness of various IS development strategies (Ginzberg, 1981; McDoniel, Palko, & Cronan, 1993). To some extent, the views of IS developers have been neglected in research on IS development strategies (Lyytinen, 1998).

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/comparison-perceived-importance-information-systems/4619](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/comparison-perceived-importance-information-systems/4619)

## Related Content

---

### Cognitive Research in Information Systems

Felix B. Tanand M. Gordon Hunter (2009). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Second Edition* (pp. 572-577).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/cognitive-research-information-systems/13631](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/cognitive-research-information-systems/13631)

### Support Networks for Rural and Regional Communities

Tom Denison (2008). *Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2514-2530).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/support-networks-rural-regional-communities/22832](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/support-networks-rural-regional-communities/22832)

### The Role of Organizational Culture and Process-Structure in Marketing Intelligence: Perspective of IT Professionals

Supreet Kanwal, Gurparkash Singhand Harsh Vardhan Samalia (2017). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 60-78).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-role-of-organizational-culture-and-process-structure-in-marketing-intelligence/178472](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-role-of-organizational-culture-and-process-structure-in-marketing-intelligence/178472)

### Learning Styles and Adaptive ICT-Based Learning Environment

Zlatko J. Kovacic (2008). *Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 413-429).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-styles-adaptive-ict-based/22676](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-styles-adaptive-ict-based/22676)

### The Incremental Artificial Immune System for Arabic Handwritten Recognition

Khelil Hiba, Benyettou Abdelkaderand Afef Kacem (2019). *Journal of Information Technology Research* (pp. 88-106).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-incremental-artificial-immune-system-for-arabic-handwritten-recognition/238027](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-incremental-artificial-immune-system-for-arabic-handwritten-recognition/238027)