Chapter 2.14
Social Network Analysis
for Virtual Communities

Francisco Ignacio Revuelta Domínguez
University Of Salamanca, Spain

SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS FOR VIRTUAL COMMUNITIES

The social network analysis (SNA) method is a set of methods considered these days as a paradigm or a method that offers "the mapping and measuring of relationships and flows between people, groups, organizations, animals, computers or other information/knowledge processing entities. The nodes in the network are the people and groups while the links show relationships or flows between the nodes. SNA provides both a visual and a mathematical analysis of human relationships. Management consultants use this methodology with their business clients and call it organizational network analysis (ONA)." (Krebs, 2005)

As Krebs says,

a method to understand networks and their participants is to evaluate the location of actors in the network. Measuring the network location is finding the centrality of a node. These measures help determine the importance, or prominence, of a node in the network. Network location can be different than location in the hierarchy, or organizational chart.

SNA uses several concepts to evaluate different network properties such as centrality, connectivity, cliques, and so on, each of which pertain to specific dimension of the network.

SNA allow us to measure the three most popular individual network parameters: degree centrality, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality.

Centrality Measures in Social Network Analysis

At this point we would like to define a number of descriptive measures, each of them, in a global sense, help us understand the actors' situation in a social network. It is not necessary to know the mathematical formulas to calculate these measures. Computer programs have the formulas built in. Should the reader be interested in the formulas (Wasserman & Faust, 1994) many papers and SNA handbooks describe them. We offer an approach.

Density

Density is a measure of the connectedness in a network. "Density is defined as the actual number of ties in a network, expressed as a proportion of the maximum possible number of ties" (Coulon, 2005). Density is a number between 0 and 1. When density is close to 1.0, the network is said to be dense.

$$D = \frac{2L}{n(n-1)}$$

L = number of ties
n = number of nodes

Degree Centrality

Social network researchers measure network activity for a node by using the concept of degrees—the number of direct connections a node has. In the example network, Diane has the most direct connections in the network, making hers the most active node in the network. She is a 'connector' or 'hub' in this network. Diane (or node) is denominated 'star.'

$$C_D(n_i) = d(n_i) = \sum_j x_{ij} = \sum_j x_{ji}.$$

$d(n_i)$ = number of connected nodes
   Standard mode:

$$C_d(n_i) = \frac{d(n_i)}{g-1}$$

g = number of nodes in network (size)

Betweenness Centrality

While Diane has many direct ties, Carol has few direct connections—fewer than the average in the network. Yet, in many ways, she has one of the best locations in the network—she is between two important constituencies. She plays a 'broker' role in the network. The good news is that she plays a powerful role in the network, the bad news is that she is a single point of failure. Without her, Frank, Susanne and Anne would be cut off from information and knowledge in Diane's cluster. A node with high betweenness has great influence over what flows in the network.

$$C_B(n_i) = \sum_{j<k} g_{jk}(n_i)\big/g_{jk}$$

g_{jk} = number of geodesic (shorter ways) connect j and k
$g_{jk}(n_i)$ = number of geodesic between j and k and go across i

   Standard mode:

$$C'_B(n_i) = \frac{C_b(n_i)}{\left[(g-1)(g-2)/2\right]}$$

3 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/social-network-analysis-virtual-communities/48694

Related Content

Virtual Community and Online Game Players
Jengchung V. Chenand Yu-Hsiang Wang (2008). *Encyclopedia of Networked and Virtual Organizations (pp. 1757-1763).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/virtual-community-online-game-players/17818

Building Trust in Globally Distributed Teams
Julia Kotlarsky, Ilan Oshriand Paul C. van Fenema (2008). *Encyclopedia of Networked and Virtual Organizations (pp. 92-99).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-trust-globally-distributed-teams/17598

The Life Cycles of Communities of Practice
Deepa Ray (2006). *Encyclopedia of Communities of Practice in Information and Knowledge Management (pp. 323-326).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/life-cycles-communities-practice/10509

On Being Lost: Evaluating Spatial Recognition in a Virtual Environment
Tomohiro Sasakiand Michael Vallance (2018). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality (pp. 38-58).*
www.irma-international.org/article/on-being-lost/214988

Teaching and Learning Abstract Concepts by Means of Social Virtual Worlds
David Grioland Zoraida Callejas (2017). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality (pp. 29-42).*
www.irma-international.org/article/teaching-and-learning-abstract-concepts-by-means-of-social-virtual-worlds/169933