Chapter 77

Knowledge Management under Coopetition

Claudia Loebbecke
University of Cologne, Germany

Albert Angehrn
Centre for Advanced Learning Technologies (CALT), INSEAD, France

ABSRACT

This article deals with Knowledge Management under Coopetition and, in this context, illustrates the concept of Coopetitive Learning and Knowledge Exchange Networks (CoLKENs). It investigates the setting for inter-organizational knowledge management initiatives focusing on issues related to cooperation-competition-dilemmas and intentional/unintentional knowledge transfer.

Category: Organizational Aspects of Knowledge Management

INTRODUCTION

Behind the emerging digital façade, companies have started to operate in a distributed fashion. The intricate connectivity among these firms implies the exchange of valuable resources like knowledge and information. Such 'cooperation' or 'collaboration' is what enables organizations and individuals to make decisions collectively, learn from one another, communicate effectively, and thus create knowledge (Brown & Duguid, 1991;

Huber, 1991; McDonald, 1995; von Krogh & Roos, 1995; Grant & Baden-Fuller, 2004).

However, cooperating organizations often simultaneously compete (coopetition). While reciprocal knowledge sharing may enhance the total and individual added value, inter-firm knowledge sharing may also affect the uniqueness and thus competitive contribution of a firm's knowledge repository. Opportunistic behavior of counterparts may erode anticipated benefits of cooperation and result in unevenly distributed value.

The inherent balancing act between cooperation and competition requires designing and implementing specific management processes to enable economic value maximization for participating individuals and firms. The value-driven

balancing act is becoming increasingly relevant in business practice.

The article introduces the scientific literature on Knowledge Management under Coopetition and then describes the concept of 'Coopetitive Learning and Knowledge Exchange Networks' (CoLKENs), their components and their generic structure. It reviews CoLKEN fundamentals and components and suggests a CoLKEN taxonomy. Key research questions are followed by generalized key insights from studying CoLKENs as the setting for Knowledge Management under Coopetition. The article then examines the levers for managing CoLKENs and closes with future trends and brief conclusions.

BACKGROUND

The following literature review provides broad definitions and discussions relevant to Knowledge Management under Coopetition.

Fundamental Components of Knowledge Management under Coopetition

Knowledge is a complex concept and difficult to define, and when seen from a management perspective it exhibits unique properties that are distinctly different from the ones of traditional corporate resources, such as land, labor and capital. Intellectual resources are not naturally scarce (Suchmann, 1989; Argyres & Silverman, 2004); knowledge may increase in value the more it is used, with investment in knowledge and knowledge-creating capabilities characterized by increasing returns (Teece, 1998; Smith, Collins, & Clark, 2005). These properties tend to make knowledge less amenable to management (Polanyi, 1966; Hedlund, 1994; Nonaka, 1994; Boisot, 1995; Grant & Baden-Fuller, 2004).

Who are appropriate knowledge agents for Knowledge Management under Coopetition?

Who is intellectually capable, the organization or its individual employees? Does knowledge reside at individual and the organizational level? Among others, Drucker (1993) or Grant (1996) stress the predominant importance of individuals. Others (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Spender, 1996; Boisot, 1998; Lane & Lubatkin, 1998; Matusik & Hill, 1998; Crossan, Lane, & White, 1999; Inkpen, 2000; Dyer & Hatch, 2006; Inkpen & Pien, 2006) consider organizational cognition or organizations as cognitive entities a suitable unit of analysis. In the organization science literature, organizational learning is a central tenet (Huber, 1991; Simon, 1991; Argyris & Schön, 1996; Reagans & McEvily, 2003; Hansen, Mors, & Lovas, 2005) and is believed to lead to competitive advantage (Senge, 1990; Moingeon & Edmondson, 1996; Hansen & Nohria, 2004; Dyer & Hatch, 2006; Lavie, 2006). It is closely intertwined with inter-organizational learning (e.g. Larsson, Bengtsson, Henriksson, & Sparks, 1998, 1998; Greve, 2005) as the learning entities in both concepts positively affect each other (Doz & Hamel, 1998; Child, 2001; Holmquist, 2003).

Knowledge Networks are commonly defined as formally set up mechanisms, structures, and behavioral patterns that connect knowledge agents who were not previously connected because of functional, hierarchical, or legal boundaries between organizations. Inter-organizational knowledge networks (e.g. Mowery, Oxley, & Silverman, 1996; Klein, 1996; Inkpen & Tsang, 2005; Dyer & Hatch, 2006; Inkpen & Pien, 2006) provide the setting for Knowledge Management under Coopetition.

Theoretical Underpinnings of Knowledge Management under Coopetition

The 'resource based view of the firm', along with its conceptual predecessor, the 'industrial organization view', and its extension, the 'knowledge based view of the firm', have shed light on the

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-management-under-coopetition/49028

Related Content

Plant Disease Classification Using Deep Learning Techniques
Hari Kishan Kondaveeti, Chinna Gopi Simhadri, Sudha Ellison Matheand Sunny Dayal Vanambathina (2023). *Effective AI, Blockchain, and E-Governance Applications for Knowledge Discovery and Management (pp. 195-215).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/plant-disease-classification-using-deep-learning-techniques/331238

Competitive Intelligence Gathering
Kevin R. Parkerand Philip S. Nitse (2011). *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management, Second Edition (pp. 103-111).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/competitive-intelligence-gathering/48962

Managing Knowledge in Organizational Memory Using Topics Maps
Les Miller, Sree Nilakanta, Yunan Song, Lei Zhuand Ming Hua (2008). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 17-28).*
www.irma-international.org/article/managing-knowledge-organizational-memory-using/2718

Introduction to the Special Issue: An Australian Perspective on Organisational Issues in Knowledge Management
Frada Bursteinand Henry Linger (2006). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-5).*
www.irma-international.org/article/introduction-special-issue/2673

Argument Extraction in Opinion Analysis: Identifying the Reasons Behind Consumer Evaluations
Maria Paz Garcia-Villalbaand Patrick Saint-Dizier (2014). *Knowledge Discovery, Transfer, and Management in the Information Age (pp. 186-211).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/argument-extraction-in-opinion-analysis/104840