Chapter 85
Knowledge Representation in Pattern Management

Pankaj Kamthan
Concordia University, Canada

Hsueh-Ieng Pai
Concordia University, Canada

Category: Technologies for Knowledge Management

INTRODUCTION

The reliance on past experience and expertise is critical to any development. Patterns are a reusable form of knowledge gained by experts in solving problems that occur repeatedly in a domain. The concept of a pattern was introduced by Christopher Alexander in the 1970s (Alexander, 1979).

Patterns have found widespread use since their inception. Applications of patterns originated in the civil engineering and urban planning domains as an approach to design buildings, roadways, and towns (Alexander, 1979). Since then, patterns have been applied to various areas including use cases (Adolph, Bramble, Cockburn, & Pols, 2002), software design (Gamma, Helm, Johnson, & Vlissides, 1995), human-computer interaction (Borchers, 2001), electronic business (Adams,

Koushik, Vasudeva, & Galambos, 2001), and configuration management (Berczuk & Appleton, 2003), to name a few in computing.

As the number of patterns grows and its user community broadens, the need for an effective management of patterns arises. If not addressed, patterns may, for example, fail to communicate their purpose to the user community, could be misused, or be virtually inaccessible when called upon. This can adversely affect further acceptance and evolution of patterns as means for solving frequently occurring problems.

This article discusses issues in pattern management as they relate to knowledge representation (KR). Although deemed important (Vlissides, 1998), there has been little attention in this area and one of the goals of this work is to fill that void. The article is organized as follows. We first provide a brief background on patterns within the context of pattern management. Next, requirements for representing patterns are given and different ways of representing patterns, along

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-931-1.ch085

with an analysis of each approach, are discussed in detail. This is followed by an outline of some future directions and trends that representation of pattern knowledge is likely to take. Finally, we present concluding remarks.

BACKGROUND

Patterns are important, as they are time- and technology-independent abstractions that suggest proven, reusable solutions to common problems in a domain. In a software context, patterns represent knowledge and experience that underlies many redesigns and reengineering efforts of engineers that have struggled to achieve greater reuse and flexibility in their software (Devedzic, 2002).

Patterns are related to, but different from other forms of knowledge such as principles, guidelines, and frameworks: Patterns are at a lower level of abstraction with respect to principles, and in fact, patterns often rely on principles for the quality and acceptance of their solutions; patterns are more concrete compared to guidelines, which often tend to be prescriptive, vague, and targeted more toward an expert rather than a novice; patterns are at a higher level of abstraction with respect to frameworks, although frameworks often make use of patterns to provide reusable code components.

An abstract model of patterns can be given as follows: A pattern P consists of a finite set of *elements*, that is, $P = \{E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_i\}$, where each element is part of pattern description as given. These elements form an anatomy of a pattern where they act as placeholders for pattern content and enable the pattern to be described completely for practical use. Typical elements are:

- **Name:** The unique, often metaphoric, name of the pattern by which it is known in the community.
- **Context:** The environment, situation, or interrelated conditions within the scope of

which the PROBLEM recurs, and for which the SOLUTION is desirable.
- **Problem:** An issue that needs to be investigated and resolved, and is typically constrained by the CONTEXT in which it occurs.
- **Forces:** These describe relevant assumptions and constraints of the PROBLEM and how they interact/conflict with one another. These help determining the kinds of tradeoffs that must be considered to arrive at a SOLUTION.
- **Solution:** The response to the PROBLEM in a CONTEXT that helps resolve the issue(s).
- **Rationale:** The reasoning behind and suitability of the pattern as a justified choice toward solving the PROBLEM.
- **Resulting Context:** The state of the entity (such as a software process or a software product) after applying the pattern, including both the positive and negative consequences.
- **Related Patterns:** The patterns that may be applicable as a result of the new context in which the entity finds itself.
- **Example:** The instance(s) of "real-world" situation(s) where the specified pattern has been applied.

This list could be extended to include other elements, for example, ANTI-PATTERNS (non-examples of the use of the pattern) or include elements of metadata (author information, version control, and so forth). The choice of both elements and element names varies in the community. Also, some of these elements (such as EXAMPLE) can be repeated while some of the elements (such as RATIONALE) are considered as optional.

A collection of patterns along with their (often implicit) context-driven relationships gives rise to a *pattern language*. A pattern language PL consists of a finite set of patterns $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_j$ and a finite set of non-reflexive relationship types $R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_k$, that is, $PL = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_j; R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_k$ such that $P_u R_t P_v$ for some u, v $\in \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}$, u $\neq$v, and t $\in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}\}$. From a graph drawing perspective,

Related Content

Argument Extraction in Opinion Analysis: Identifying the Reasons Behind Consumer Evaluations
Maria Paz Garcia-Villalbaand Patrick Saint-Dizier (2014). *Knowledge Discovery, Transfer, and Management in the Information Age (pp. 186-211).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/argument-extraction-in-opinion-analysis/104840

Knowledge Management Process in Multi-Site Provision of Service
Rodrigo Valio Dominguez Gonzalez (2016). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 20-37).*
www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-management-process-in-multi-site-provision-of-service/170541

The Use of SAP as a Knowledge Management Tool at an Australian Research University
Mohammad Monoar Hossainand Suzanne Zyngier (2021). *Enhancing Academic Research and Higher Education With Knowledge Management Principles (pp. 36-59).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-use-of-sap-as-a-knowledge-management-tool-at-an-australian-research-university/271009

Effective Implementation of Knowledge Management Systems (KMS) in Government Schemes/Programs of Selected Sectors Using Soft Computing
Mriganka Mohan Chanda, Neelotpaul Banerjeeand Gautam Bandyopadhyay (2022). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-22).*
www.irma-international.org/article/effective-implementation-of-knowledge-management-systems-kms-in-government-schemesprograms-of-selected-sectors-using-soft-computing/297608

Taking Charities Seriously: A Call for Focused Knowledge Management Research
Kathleen E. Greenawayand David C. H. Vuong (2012). *Conceptual Models and Outcomes of Advancing Knowledge Management: New Technologies (pp. 333-344).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/taking-charities-seriously/62430