

# Chapter 116

## Object–Process Methodology

**Dov Dori**

*Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Israel and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA*

*Category: Processes of Knowledge Management*

### INTRODUCTION

Capturing the knowledge about existing systems and analysis and design of conceived systems requires an adequate methodology, which should be both formal and intuitive. Formality is required to maintain a coherent representation of the system under study, while the requirement that the methodology be intuitive stems from the fact that humans are the ultimate consumers of the knowledge. Object-Process Methodology (OPM) is a vehicle for knowledge representation and management that perfectly meets the formality and intuition requirements through a unique combination of graphics and natural language.

Function, structure, and behavior are the three main aspects that systems exhibit. Function is the top-level utility that the system provides its beneficiaries who use it or are affected by it, either directly or indirectly. The system's function is enabled by its architecture—the combination of

structure and behavior. The system's architecture is what enables it to function so as to benefit its users.

Most interesting, useful, and challenging systems are those in which structure and behavior are highly intertwined and hard to separate. For example, in a manufacturing system, the manufacturing process cannot be contemplated in isolation from its inputs—raw materials, model, machines, and operators—and its outputs—the resulting product. The inputs and the output are objects, some of which are transformed by the manufacturing process, while others just enable it.

Modeling of complex systems should conveniently combine structure and behavior in a single model. Motivated by this observation, OPM (Dori, 1995, 2002) is a comprehensive, holistic approach to modeling, study, development, engineering, evolution, and lifecycle support of systems. Employing a combination of graphics and a subset of English, the OPM paradigm integrates the object-oriented, process-oriented, and state transition approaches into a single frame of reference. Structure and behavior coexist in the

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-931-1.ch116

Figure 1. The three groups of OPM symbols in the toolset of OPCAT 2



same OPM model without highlighting one at the expense of suppressing the other to enhance the comprehension of the system as a whole.

Rather than requiring that the modeler views each of the system's aspects in isolation and struggle to mentally integrate the various views, OPM offers an approach that is orthogonal to customary practices. According to this approach, various system aspects can be inspected in tandem for better comprehension. Complexity is managed via the ability to create and navigate across possibly multiple detail levels, which are generated and traversed through by several abstraction/refinement mechanisms.

Due to its structure-behavior integration, OPM provides a solid basis for representing and managing knowledge about complex systems, regardless of their domain. This chapter provides an overview of OPM, its ontology, semantics, and symbols. It then describes applications of OPM in various domains.

## THE OPM ONTOLOGY

The elements of the OPM ontology, shown in Figure 1, are divided into three groups: entities, structural relations, and procedural links.

### Entities

Entities, the basic building blocks of any system modeled in OPM, are of three types: stateful objects, namely *objects* with *states*, and *processes*. As defined below, processes transform objects by (1) creating them, (2) destroying them, or (3) changing their state. The symbols for these three

entities are respectively shown as the first group of symbols at the left-hand side of Figure 1, which are the symbols in the toolset available as part of the GUI of OPCAT 2 (Dori, Reinhartz-Berger et al., 2003).

### OPM Things: Objects and Processes

*Objects* are (physical or informatical) things that exist, while *processes* are things that transform (create, destroy, or change the state of) objects. Following is a set of basic definitions that build upon each other.

*An object is a thing that exists.*

Objects are the things that are being transformed in the system.

*Transformation is generation (creation) or consumption (destruction) of an object, or a change of its state.*

Processes are the things that transform objects in the system.

*A process is a thing that represents a pattern of object transformation.*

Table 1 shows the OPM things and their basic attributes. The third column on Table 1 contains a description of each thing or attribute and below it the syntax of the corresponding sentence in Object-Process Language (OPL)—a subset of English that reflects the graphical representation. In OPL, bold Arial font denotes non-reserved phrases, while non-bold Arial font denotes reserved phrases. In

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/object-process-methodology/49067](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/object-process-methodology/49067)

## Related Content

---

### C3EEP Typology and Taxonomies: Knowledge Based (KB) Strategies

Meir Russ, Robert Fineman and Jeannette K. Jones (2010). *Knowledge Management Strategies for Business Development* (pp. 133-158).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/c3eep-typology-taxonomies/38466](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/c3eep-typology-taxonomies/38466)

### Outcomes of Knowledge Management Initiatives

Vittal S. Anantmula (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2933-2951).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/outcomes-knowledge-management-initiatives/25309](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/outcomes-knowledge-management-initiatives/25309)

### Knowledge Sharing Barriers and Effectiveness at a Higher Education Institution

Omar E. M. Khalil and Timothy Shea (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 43-64).

[www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-sharing-barriers-effectiveness-higher/67337](http://www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-sharing-barriers-effectiveness-higher/67337)

### Using Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) to Improve Agricultural Knowledge Management System (KMS)

Mriganka Mohan Chanda, Neelotpaul Banerjee and Gautam Bandyopadhyay (2020). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 84-101).

[www.irma-international.org/article/using-artificial-neural-networks-anns-to-improve-agricultural-knowledge-management-system-kms/255134](http://www.irma-international.org/article/using-artificial-neural-networks-anns-to-improve-agricultural-knowledge-management-system-kms/255134)

### Intellectual Capital Disclosure in Sustainability Reports

Giovanni Bronzetti, Romilda Mazzotta, Graziella Sicoli and Maria Assunta Baldini (2013). *Intellectual Capital Strategy Management for Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 195-214).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/intellectual-capital-disclosure-sustainability-reports/75258](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/intellectual-capital-disclosure-sustainability-reports/75258)