

Chapter 121

Organizational Attention

Eyal Yaniv

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

David G. Schwartz

Bar-Ilan University, Israel

Category: Organizational Aspects of Knowledge Management

INTRODUCTION

“Attention” is a term commonly used in education, psychiatry and psychology. Attention can be defined as an internal cognitive process by which one actively selects environmental information (i.e. sensation) or actively processes information from internal sources (i.e. stored memories and thoughts) (Sternberg, 1996). In more general terms, attention can be defined as an ability to focus and maintain interest in a given task or idea, including managing distractions. Attention

is selective by its nature. “The process of selecting from among the many potentially available stimuli is the clearest manifestation of selective attention” (Pashler, 1999: 37).

Why do firms respond to certain events or stimuli in their environment, while neglecting others? It seems that organizations, just like individuals, have limited attention capacity. Hence they must select from among the many potentially available stimuli and respond to these selected stimuli only. Organizational Attention is defined as the socially structured pattern of attention by decision-makers within the organization (Ocasio, 1997). Organizational attention, like human attention, is a limited resource. “Attentional limits filter or screen incoming information such that a great deal of data pertinent to strategic decision may never get processed” (Corner, Kinicki & Keats,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-59904-931-1.ch123

1994: 296). Garg, Walters and Priem (2003) show that the extent to which CEOs are selective in their attention to sectors of the environment was a significant predictor of performance.

Knowledge management models and process theories, almost without exception, incorporate a stage or phase in which a given knowledge item is brought to bear on a current decision or action. This stage, referred to alternatively as Externalization (Nonaka, 1994), or Awareness (Schwartz et al., 2000), is of crucial importance in any knowledge management cycle. The flow of knowledge in and out of an awareness stage is not merely a function of the universe of available organizational memory or the technological tools available to filter and identify such knowledge. It is influenced to a large degree by Organizational Attention. The second area in which Organizational Attention is key is in knowledge acquisition and creation as discussed by (Ocasio, 1997; Yaniv & Elizur, 2003).

Successful knowledge management requires attention. Davenport and Beck (2002) argue that attention is the currency of the information age. Knowledge consumers must pay attention to knowledge, and become actively involved in the knowledge transfer processes. This is particularly important when the knowledge to be received is tacit (Nonaka, 1994). Knowledge can be part of the organization's repository, however, if it doesn't get the attention of decision makers or other knowledge workers, it is not effective. This knowledge can be very important and relevant to the organization, but since it doesn't get attention, it doesn't become useful. Organizational attention is crucial in the context of knowledge management, as it lays the infrastructure for knowledge acquisition and transfer.

Like human attention, organizational attention is limited in its capacity. Davenport and Beck (2002) term this as the Attention Deficit Principle – “Before you can manage attention, you need to understand just how depleted this resource is for organizations and individuals”. They argue that the symptoms of attention deficit disorder can be

an increased likelihood of missing key information when making decisions, diminished time for reflection on anything but simple information transactions, and decreased ability to focus when necessary. Organizational attention limits the ability of organizations to process knowledge and thus it should be of major concern when knowledge management is discussed.

The limited organizational attention span reduces the number of sources that the organization can use as knowledge sources. The organization has to pay attention to some sources while ignoring or paying less attention to others. An increased likelihood of missing key information when making decisions, is the direct result of this selective attention.

In this article organizational attention is discussed in the context of organizational knowledge flow and processing.

BACKGROUND: ORGANIZATIONAL ATTENTION AND KNOWLEDGE PROCESSING

The fact that a situation demands information to fill cognitive gaps, to support values and beliefs, or to influence affective states, and that sources of information are available and accessible to the decision maker is no guarantee that the information will be ‘processed’ (that is, incorporated into the users’ framework of knowledge, beliefs or values) or used (that is, lead to changes in behaviour, values or beliefs).

Mintzberg’s (1973) model of the managerial **use of information** includes information acquired from the external environment. In his conceptualization of top managers as information processing systems, the managers’ interpersonal roles provide access and exposure to information from a large number of external and internal information sources. The manager in the informational role of *monitor* “continually seeks and receives information from a variety of sources in order to develop

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/organizational-attention/49072

Related Content

IN&OUT Model: Knowledge Management Applied to the Succession Process in Family Business

Maria Sarabia, Maria Obeso and Elaine Philpott (2015). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/inout-model/124852

Building Sustainable Communities of Practice

Bronwyn Stuckey and John D. Smith (2004). *Knowledge Networks: Innovation Through Communities of Practice* (pp. 150-164).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-sustainable-communities-practice/25431

Towards Semantic-Based P2P Reputation Systems

Ernesto Damiani and Marco Viviani (2009). *Semantic Knowledge Management: An Ontology-Based Framework* (pp. 101-119).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-semantic-based-p2p-reputation/28813

Tools for Supporting Knowledge Management: Knowledge Internalization Through E-Learning

Raquel Sanchis (2009). *Connectivity and Knowledge Management in Virtual Organizations: Networking and Developing Interactive Communications* (pp. 126-147).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/tools-supporting-knowledge-management/6950

Work Unit's Knowledge Processing Style: An Empirical Examination of its Determinants

Seokwoo Song (2010). *Ubiquitous Developments in Knowledge Management: Integrations and Trends* (pp. 88-104).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/work-unit-knowledge-processing-style/41857