

Chapter XVII

Mastering the Online Summative–Assessment Life Cycle

Simon Wilkinson

Medical Education Unit, University of Nottingham, UK

Heather Rai

Medical Education Unit, University of Nottingham, UK

ABSTRACT

This chapter focuses on the use of computers for online summative assessment, in particular for objectively marked items. The aim of this chapter is to try and address the concerns of individuals wishing to pilot the introduction of online summative assessments in their own institutions. A five-stage development life cycle of online summative assessment—item development, quality assurance, item selection, examination delivery, and results analysis—is presented and discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Many institutions are already using computers for online formative assessment, but in a review looking at medical education, Cantillon, Irish, and Sales (2004) found the application of computers to the summative-assessment arena much more limited. Limiting factors preventing wider adoption of online summative assessment included lack of space and perceived security risks. The

publication of failures (Harwood, 2005; Heintz & Jemison, 2005; Smailes, 2002) also does little to reassure the unconverted.

Although the rationale for online assessment has been well rehearsed, it is nevertheless useful to recap some pertinent arguments that support the use of online assessment in the summative area. Students entering higher education today come from a broad background of technology in both their school and home lives. They expect interac-

tion, a visual experience, and rapid feedback from their activities (Oblinger, 2006). Additionally, as more and more online assessment is used in secondary education before these students enter university and in the workplace after students leave, if universities do not keep up with this trend, their courses are in danger of appearing outdated to students (Sim, Holifield, & Brown, 2004). Additionally, online examination broadens the assessment arsenal and creates a more holistically challenging assessment environment: No longer is it possible to be just good at written examinations.

From the point of view of teaching and administration staff, the move to assessing students online also offers a number of advantages. As student numbers increase in the United Kingdom along with time pressures on staff to produce research alongside their teaching, a system that can reduce marking loads has huge advantages. Results can be available as soon as an examination is finished and can be immediately reviewed by an exami-

nation board and released to students. A number of quality checks can also be performed as the results come in, resulting in the early detection of problematic questions. These issues are covered in detail below.

The chapter concentrates on the specific topic of computer-based assessment using a client-server architecture such as the Internet. The field of computer-assisted assessment is very wide and conceptually encompasses any form of assessment activity assisted wholly or in part by a computer. This includes endeavours such as student submission of coursework into virtual learning environments (VLEs), the use of online plagiarism detection systems such as Turnitin (<http://www.turnitin.com>), and investigating methods for marking free-text prose automatically. What the current chapter will concentrate on is the use of computer-based assessment for objectively marked items. This should be of interest to curriculum managers, educationalists, and module coordinators who have possibly built up

Table 1. Pros and cons of computer-based vs. computer-assisted assessment

<p>Computer-Based Assessment: Pros</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fast marking, scales well with additional examinees • Examinees can alter answers quickly and clearly • Interactive, adaptive, and multimedia question types possible • Saves paper • External examiner can have instant access to a paper electronically 	<p>Optical Mark Recognition: Pros</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large-scale performance, simultaneous starts possible • Low cost, only a single computer with OMR scanner required • Low chance of any failures (apart from power cut)
<p>Computer-Based Assessment: Cons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple failure risks, power/hardware/software • High cost, powerful servers required together with large numbers of clients • Students must learn how to use the assessment system (should be during formative papers) • Staff must be trained in how to enter questions and taught the full capabilities of the system 	<p>Optical Mark Recognition: Cons</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interactive, adaptive, and multimedia question types not possible • Answer sheet not correctly completed • Time required to (a) print question booklets and (b) scan answer sheets increases linearly with examinee cohort sizes • Storage of past examination scripts • Examination scripts must be securely couriered to external examiners

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/mastering-online-summative-assessment-life/5169

Related Content

Improve the Flipped Classroom with Universal Design for Learning

Thomas J. Tobin and Barbi Honeycutt (2017). *Handbook of Research on Innovative Pedagogies and Technologies for Online Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 449-471).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/improve-the-flipped-classroom-with-universal-design-for-learning/174582

Policy Processes for Technological Change

Richard Smith, Brian Lewis and Christine Massey (2000). *Case Studies on Information Technology in Higher Education: Implications for Policy and Practice* (pp. 34-42).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/policy-processes-technological-change/6340

Learning 2.0: Using Web 2.0 Technologies for Learning in an Engineering Course

Thomas Connolly, Carole Gould, Gavin J. Baxter and Tom Hainey (2012). *Higher Education Institutions and Learning Management Systems: Adoption and Standardization* (pp. 50-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-using-web-technologies-learning/56268

Massive Open Online Courses: Imposter or Saviour?

Winthrop Gary J. Stockport (2014). *Multicultural Awareness and Technology in Higher Education: Global Perspectives* (pp. 363-376).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/massive-open-online-courses/103772

Collaboration or Cooperation? Analyzing Small Group Interactions in Educational Environments

Trena M. Paulus (2005). *Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 100-124).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaboration-cooperation-analyzing-small-group/6902