

Chapter 5.2

Cross–Cultural Learning Objects (XCLOs)

Andrea L. Edmundson
eWorld Learning, Inc., USA

INTRODUCTION

“Networked virtual organizations outperform competitors by responding more quickly to customers, collaborating better with partners to perform value added activities, and fully standardizing their business processes, data, and IT infrastructure” (Cisco Systems Inc., 2003). Thus, networked and virtual organizations (NVOs) depend heavily on the agility afforded by effective communications, ease of sharing information, and virtual integration of business functions. Such agility however, requires a trained workforce. In keeping with its reliance on technology, NVOs, especially those in the U.S. (Bersin, 2005; Rivera & Paradise, 2006; Sugrue & Rivera, 2005), frequently utilize e-learning as their source of training and education. In e-learning, there is a proliferation

of social and collaborative tools, mobile learning, and dynamic computing (EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative, 2006). These tools, coupled with the global reach of NVOs, will precipitate unprecedented contact between educators and learners from other cultures. Because e-learning is a cultural artifact—embedded with the nuances of the culture that designs it—e-learning will need to be translated, localized, and adapted in profound ways to suit the needs and preferences of learners in other cultures. *Localization* addresses obvious visual and textual differences found in other cultures, such as icons, symbols, gestures, and so forth. However, the deeper ramifications of culture, such as what people value, how they learn, solve problems, and so forth, will require approaches that are more sophisticated. *Reusable learning objects (RLOs)* are “plug and play” chunks of learning materials (content, teaching approaches, and so forth) that allow instructional designers

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-503-2.ch502

to construct and modify e-learning in an easy, efficient, and effective manner that parallels the agility demanded by NVOs in business functions. RLOs are fast becoming the foundation of rapid e-learning development (EDUCAUSE Learning Initiative, 2006). However, *cross-cultural learning objects (XCLOs)* meet the additional challenge of creating e-learning that accommodates the more profound cultural differences of global learners, such as those generated by different values, national cultural dimensions, and even diverse levels of techno-literacy. This article describes XCLOs in more detail and illustrates how they can be used by NVOs to maintain their requisite agile workforce.

BACKGROUND

Technically, a learning object (LO) is a simple unit of instruction designed to achieve a specific learning objective. A variety of learning objects can be designed to achieve the same objective, allowing instructional designers to choose activities based on the demographics of learners, media, environments, and so forth. When one speaks of learning objects today, it is usually in the context of e-learning because LOs are digital and designed to be reusable (reusable learning objects or RLOs), which is accomplished by designing them to meet established standards for a specific data format, such as Sharable Content Object Reference Model (SCORM) and Aviation (all encompassing) Industry Computer-Based Training (CBT) Committee (AICC) (Wikipedia, 2007). A simple definition of an RLO is “[a] self-contained piece of learning material with an associated learning objective, which could be of any size and in a range of media” (Crawley, 2006).

NETg (2003) defines [RLOs] by three components that must be present: the object must have a measurable objective, it must have an activity that exactly matches this objective, and it must have an assessment. Wiley (2000) defines a learning

object as “any digital resource that can be reused to support learning.” Extensive databases, such as the Multimedia Educational Research for Learning and Online Teaching [MERLOT] (MERLOT, 2006), have been created to house these RLOs created by educators and to make them available to other educators.

The extensive coverage of reusable learning objects on Web sites such as <http://www.reusablelearning.org/> (Reusable Learning Project, 2005-2005) and Eduworks (2001-2005) are examples of how RLOs have grown in popularity and sophistication.

Reusable Learning Objects

Reusable learning objects represent an alternative approach to content development. In this approach, content is broken down into chunks. From a pedagogical perspective, each chunk might play a specific role within an instructional design methodology...

- Each chunk must be able to communicate with learning systems using a standardized method that does not depend on the system
- How a learner moves *between* chunks is controlled by the learning system.
- Each chunk must have a description that enables designers to search for and find the right chunk for the right job.

Such chunks are called learning objects. There is no standard for the size (or *granularity*) of a learning object (Eduworks Corporation, 2001-2005).

The primary benefit of using RLOs for rapid e-learning development, as well as for cultural adaptation, is that the needs of different groups of learners can be met by using these “chunks” to adapt existing courses instead of creating new ones for every different group of targeted learners. The major premise, while not entirely proven, is that the cultural characteristics of learners will need to

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/cross-cultural-learning-objects-xclos/51875

Related Content

Exploring Applications for Using Video Podcasts in Online Learning

Robin H. Kay (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 64-77).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-applications-for-using-video-podcasts-in-online-learning/114997

The Establishment and Usability Evaluation on a Markerless AR-Based Hairstyle Simulation System

Hao-Chiang Koong Linand Min-Chai Hsieh (2012). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 100-109).

www.irma-international.org/article/establishment-usability-evaluation-markerless-based/65743

People, Technology and Human Resource Development (HRD) Philosophy

Claretha Hughesand Matthew W. Gosney (2012). *Encyclopedia of E-Leadership, Counseling and Training* (pp. 759-770).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/people-technology-human-resource-development/58477

Implementing a Doctoral Program in the Role of Professor

(2020). *Teaching and Learning Perspectives on Doctoral Programs in Education: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 87-93).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/implementing-a-doctoral-program-in-the-role-of-professor/248657

Evaluating Social Interaction and Support Methods Over Time

Birgitta Maria Kopp (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/evaluating-social-interaction-and-support-methods-over-time/117453