Chapter 9

Methods for Statistical and Visual Comparison of Imputation Methods for Missing Data in Software Cost Estimation

Lefteris Angelis

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Panagiotis Sentas

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Nikolaos Mittas

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Panagiota Chatzipetrou

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

ABSTRACT

Software Cost Estimation is a critical phase in the development of a software project, and over the years has become an emerging research area. A common problem in building software cost models is that the available datasets contain projects with lots of missing categorical data. The purpose of this chapter is to show how a combination of modern statistical and computational techniques can be used to compare the effect of missing data techniques on the accuracy of cost estimation. Specifically, a recently proposed missing data technique, the multinomial logistic regression, is evaluated and compared with four older methods: listwise deletion, mean imputation, expectation maximization and regression imputation with respect to their effect on the prediction accuracy of a least squares regression cost model. The evaluation is based on various expressions of the prediction error and the comparisons are conducted using statistical tests, resampling techniques and a visualization tool, the regression error characteristic curves.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-215-4.ch009

INTRODUCTION

Software has become the key element of any computer-based system and product. The complicated structure of software and the continuously increasing demand for quality products justify the high importance of software engineering in today's world as it offers a systematic framework for development and maintenance of software. One of the most important activities in the initial project phases is Software Cost Estimation (SCE). During this stage a software project manager attempts to estimate the effort and time required for the development of a software product. For complete discussions on the importance of software engineering and the role of cost estimation in software project planning we refer to Pressman (2005). Cost estimations may be performed before, during or even after the development of software.

The complicated nature of a software project and therefore the difficult problems involved in the SCE procedures emerged a whole area of research within the wider field of software engineering. A substantial part of the research on SCE concerns the construction of software cost estimation models. These models are built by applying statistical methodologies to historical datasets which contain attributes of finished software projects. The scope of cost estimation models is twofold: first, they can provide a theoretical framework for describing and interpreting the dependencies of cost with the characteristics of the project and second they can be utilized to produce efficient cost predictions. Although the second utility is the most important for practical purposes, the first utility is equally significant, since it provides a basis for thorough studies of how the various project attributes interact and affect the cost. Therefore, the cost models are valuable not only to practitioners but also to researchers whose work is to analyse and interpret.

In the process of constructing cost models, a major problem arises from the fact that missing values are often encountered in some historical datasets. Very often missing data are responsible for the misleading results regarding the accuracy of the cost models and may reduce their explanatory and prediction ability. The aforementioned problem is very important in the area of software project management because most of the software databases suffer from missing values and this can happen for several reasons.

A common reason is the cost and the difficulties that some companies face in the collection of the data. In some cases, the cost of money and time needed to collect certain information is forbidding for a company or an organization. In other cases, the collection of data is very difficult because it demands consistence, experience, time and methodology for a company. An additional source of incomplete values is the fact that data are often collected with a different purpose in mind, or that the measurement categories are generic and thus not applicable to all projects. This seems especially likely when data are collected from a number of companies. So, for researchers whose purpose is to study projects from different companies and build cost models on them, the handling of missing data is an essential preliminary step (Chen, Boehm, Menzies & Port, 2005).

Many techniques deal with missing data. The most common and straightforward one is *Listwise Deletion* (LD), which simply ignores the projects with missing values. The major advantage of the method is its simplicity and the ability to do statistical calculations on a common sample base of cases. The disadvantages of the method are the dramatic loss of information in cases with high percentages of missing values and possible bias in the data. These problems can occur when there is some type of pattern in the missing data, i.e. when the distribution of missing values in some variables is depended on certain valid observations of other variables in the data.

Other techniques estimate or "impute" the missing values. The resulting complete data can then be analyzed and modelled by standard methods (for example regression analysis). These methods are called *imputation methods*. The

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/methods-statistical-visual-comparisonimputation/51974

Related Content

Disciplined Teams vs. Agile Teams: Differences and Similarities in Software Development

Antonio Alexandre Moura Costa, Felipe Barbosa Araújo Ramos, Dalton Cézane Gomes Valadares, Danyllo Wagner Albuquerque, Emanuel Dantas Filho, Alexandre Braga Gomes, Mirko Barbosa Perkusichand Hyggo Oliveira de Almeida (2021). *Balancing Agile and Disciplined Engineering and Management Approaches for IT Services and Software Products (pp. 151-166).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/disciplined-teams-vs-agile-teams/259176

Social Media for Online Collaboration in Firms and Organizations

Enrico Franchi, Agostino Poggiand Michele Tomaiuolo (2016). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design (pp. 18-31).*

www.irma-international.org/article/social-media-for-online-collaboration-in-firms-and-organizations/144812

Estimating Methods for Small Teams

Tomás San Feliu Gilabertand Magdalena Arcilla (2014). *Agile Estimation Techniques and Innovative Approaches to Software Process Improvement (pp. 47-62).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/estimating-methods-for-small-teams/100270

Technology-Based Innovation for Business Model Innovation

S Meenakshi Sundaram, Tejaswini R. Murgodand Sowmya M. (2022). *Emerging Technologies for Innovation Management in the Software Industry (pp. 223-236).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-based-innovation-for-business-model-innovation/304548

A Hybrid Optimal Feature Extraction for Brain Tumor Segmentation

P. Santhosh Kumar, V. P. Sakthivel., Manda Rajuand P. D. Sathya (2022). *International Journal of Software Innovation (pp. 1-15).*

www.irma-international.org/article/a-hybrid-optimal-feature-extraction-for-brain-tumor-segmentation/303578