

Chapter 2.7

Computational Methods in Biomedical Imaging

Michele Piana
Universita' di Verona, Italy

INTRODUCTION

Biomedical imaging represents a practical and conceptual revolution in the applied sciences of the last thirty years. Two basic ingredients permitted such a breakthrough: the technological development of hardware for the collection of detailed information on the organ under investigation in a less and less invasive fashion; the formulation and application of sophisticated mathematical tools for signal processing within a methodological setting of truly interdisciplinary flavor.

A typical acquisition procedure in biomedical imaging requires the probing of the biological tissue by means of some emitted, reflected

or transmitted radiation. Then a mathematical model describing the image formation process is introduced and computational methods for the numerical solution of the model equations are formulated. Finally, methods based on or inspired by Artificial Intelligence (AI) frameworks like machine learning are applied to the reconstructed images in order to extract clinically helpful information.

Important issues in this research activity are the intrinsic numerical instability of the reconstruction problem, the convergence properties and the computational complexity of the image processing algorithms. Such issues will be discussed in the following with the help of several examples of notable significance in the biomedical practice.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-561-2.ch207

BACKGROUND

The first breakthrough in the theory and practice of recent biomedical imaging is represented by X-ray Computerized Tomography (CT) (Hounsfield, 1973). On October 11 1979 Allan Cormack and Godfrey Hounsfield gained the Nobel Prize in medicine for the development of computer assisted tomography. In the press release motivating the award, the Nobel Assembly of the Karolinska Institut wrote that in this revolutionary diagnostic tool “the signals[...]are stored and mathematically analyzed in a computer. The computer is programmed to reconstruct an image of the examined cross-section by solving a large number of equations including a corresponding number of unknowns”. Starting from this crucial milestone, biomedical imaging has represented a lively melting pot of clinical practice, experimental physics, computer science and applied mathematics, providing mankind of numerous non-invasive and effective instruments for early detection of diseases, and scientist of a prolific and exciting area for research activity.

The main imaging modalities in biomedicine can be grouped into two families according to the kind of information content they provide.

- **Structural imaging:** the image provides information on the anatomical features of the tissue without investigating the organic metabolism. Structural modalities are typically characterized by a notable spatial resolution but are ineffective in reconstructing the dynamical evolution of the imaging parameters. Further to X-ray CT, other examples of such approach are Fluorescence Microscopy (Rost & Oldfield, 2000), Ultrasound Tomography (Greenleaf, Gisvold & Bahn, 1982), structural Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) (Haacke, Brown, Venkatesan & Thompson, 1999) and some kinds of

prototypal non-linear tomographies like Microwave Tomography (Boulyshev, Souvorov, Semenov, Posukh & Sizov, 2004), Diffraction Tomography (Guo & Devaney, 2005), Electrical Impedance Tomography (Cheney, Isaacson & Newell, 1999) and Optical Tomography (Arridge, 1999).

- **Functional imaging:** during the acquisition many different sets of signals are recorded according to a precisely established temporal paradigm. The resulting images can provide information on metabolic deficiencies and functional diseases but are typically characterized by a spatial resolution which is lower (sometimes much lower) than the one of anatomical imaging. Emission tomographies like Single Photon Emission Computerized Tomography (SPECT) (Duncan, 1997) or Positron Emission Tomography (PET) (Valk, Bailey, Townsend & Maisey, 2004) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging in its functional setup (fMRI) (Huettel, Song & McCarthy, 2004) are examples of these dynamical techniques together with Electro- and Magnetoencephalography (EEG and MEG) (Zschocke & Speckmann, 1993; Hamalainen, Hari, Ilmoniemi, Knuutila & Lounasmaa, 1993), which reproduce the neural activity at a millisecond time scale and in a completely non-invasive fashion.

In all these imaging modalities the correct mathematical modeling of the imaging problem, the formulation of computational algorithms for the solution of the model equations and the application of image processing algorithms for data interpretation are the crucial steps which allow the exploitment of the visual information from the measured raw data.

4 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/computational-methods-biomedical-imaging/53593

Related Content

Introduction to the Use of MEPS (Medical Expenditure Panel Survey)

Patricia Cerrito and John Cerrito (2010). *Clinical Data Mining for Physician Decision Making and Investigating Health Outcomes: Methods for Prediction and Analysis* (pp. 19-56).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/introduction-use-meps-medical-expenditure/44265

Biomedical Image Registration for Diagnostic Decision Making and Treatment Monitoring

Xiu Y. Wang and David D. Feng (2005). *Clinical Knowledge Management: Opportunities and Challenges* (pp. 159-181).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/biomedical-image-registration-diagnostic-decision/6582

PACS Monitoring

Carrison K.S. Tong and Eric T.T. Wong (2009). *Governance of Picture Archiving and Communications Systems: Data Security and Quality Management of Filmless Radiology* (pp. 244-263).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pacs-monitoring/19333

Issues in Clinical Knowledge Management: Revising Healthcare Management

Rajeev K. Bali, Ashish Dwivedi and Raouf Raouf (2005). *Clinical Knowledge Management: Opportunities and Challenges* (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/issues-clinical-knowledge-management/6574

Informatics and Ovarian Cancer Care

Laurie Elit, Susan Bondy, Michael Fung-Kee-Fung, Prafull Ghatage, Tien Le, Barry Rosen and Bohdan Sadovy (2009). *Medical Informatics in Obstetrics and Gynecology* (pp. 185-261).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/informatics-ovarian-cancer-care/26192