

Chapter 5.14

Managing Paramedic Knowledge for Treatment of Acute Myocardial Infarction

Tom Quinn

Coventry University, UK

R. K. Bali

Coventry University, UK

Kathrine Dale

Worcestershire Royal Hospital, UK

Pete Gregory

Coventry University, UK

INTRODUCTION

There are about 280,000 acute myocardial infarctions (AMI) each year in the United Kingdom (British Heart Foundation (BHF), 2004). Up to half of these events will prove to be fatal, many within the first few hours following symptom onset as a result of disturbances of cardiac rhythm. For those patients who survive the initial period of vulnerability, subsequent mortality and the risk of disabling chronic heart failure can be mark-

edly reduced by prompt restoration of blood flow through the affected coronary artery.

This can be achieved in patients sustaining a STEMI by mechanical means (percutaneous coronary intervention) or pharmacologically by thrombolytic (“clot buster”) therapy (Antman et al., 2004) or where initial thrombolytic treatment fails—“rescue” intervention. Mortality benefit from thrombolysis is time dependent; for patients presenting with STEMI in the first 2 to 3 hours from onset, each minute’s delay equates to 11 days loss of life expectancy (Department of Health (DoH), 2003).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-561-2.ch514

BACKGROUND

In England, publication of the National Service Framework (NSF) for coronary heart disease (CHD) has resulted in dramatic improvements in the timeliness of thrombolytic treatment (DoH, 2000), with half of eligible STEMI patients starting treatment within the NSF standard of 60 minutes from the call for help (Birkhead et al., 2004) compared to one in 10 in the years preceding the NSF (Quinn, Allan, Birkhead, Griffiths, Gyde & Murray, 2003). These improvements are largely a result of improved hospital processes (Birkhead et al., 2004), but further increasing the proportion of patients treated within the NSF “call to needle time” is reliant on thrombolysis commencing prior to hospitalization (Quinn et al., 2003), administered mainly by ambulance paramedics.

Significant government investment in emergency ambulance services in England has facilitated universal availability of 12 lead electrocardiograph (ECG) equipment, programs to enhance training of paramedics in assessment of patients with suspected STEMI for thrombolysis eligibility (and other aspects of cardiac care), and procurement of the appropriate thrombolytic drugs.

The United Kingdom provides a mainly paramedic-led ambulance service in contrast to several other countries where some ambulances are manned by physicians or intensive-care trained nurses (Bouten, Simoons, Hartman, Van Miltenburg, Van der Does & Pool, 1992; Leizorovicz et al., 1997). This has led to concern in some quarters about the feasibility and safety of nonphysicians administering thrombolysis in the ambulance setting.

Recent UK studies, however, have confirmed that paramedics can accurately identify patients with suspected AMI requiring specialist coronary care (Quinn, Allan, Thompson, Pawelec & Boyle, 1999) and, in particular, patients presenting with ST segment elevation (the cornerstone of decision-making in this context) on the ECG (Whitbread et al., 2002) and eligibility for thrombolysis (Pitt,

2002; Keeling et al., 2003). Moreover, a recent international clinical trial has demonstrated that the efficacy and safety of prehospital thrombolysis was independent of physician presence (Welsh et al., 2005). Around 4,000 patients in England have received “paramedic thrombolysis” since 2003.

Nevertheless, the government’s own Review of Early Thrombolysis (DoH, 2003) has acknowledged concerns about paramedics’ retention of knowledge and skill in relation to thrombolytic treatment; in particular, in relation to the low exposure to this aspect of emergency care in low-density populations in rural areas. The review acknowledges the need for research to address the question of a “volume-outcome” relationship between paramedics’ exposure to the procedure and patient safety.

LEARNING, SKILL, AND KNOWLEDGE RETENTION

It is appropriate to make a distinction between learning (a change in behavior as a result of experience) (Haskell, 2001) and skill retention (persistence of skill proficiency after a period of no practice) (Cree & Macauley, 2003).

Although there is a paucity of research specific to the areas of learning and skill retention around paramedics and thrombolytic treatment, the literature on other aspects of paramedic practice may be relevant. For example, paramedics’ skill acquisition, retention, and decay have been assessed in relation to tracheal intubation (Barnes, 2001), neonatal resuscitation (Skidmore & Urquhart, 2001), and laryngeal mask intubation (Coles, Elding & Mercer, 2001). Studies of skill decay in the delivery of cardiopulmonary resuscitation demonstrate poor skill and knowledge retention with a return to pretraining levels within six months (Roach & Medina, 1994). Issues of motivation, competence, confidence, and assessment come in to play (Chamberlain & Hazinski, 2003).

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/managing-paramedic-knowledge-treatment-acute/53669

Related Content

Image Processing and Machine Learning Techniques for Facial Expression Recognition

Anastasios Koutlas and Dimitrios I. Fotiadis (2009). *Handbook of Research on Advanced Techniques in Diagnostic Imaging and Biomedical Applications* (pp. 247-262).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/image-processing-machine-learning-techniques/19599

On the Literature of B-Spline Interpolation Functions

Carlo Ciulla (2009). *Improved Signal and Image Interpolation in Biomedical Applications: The Case of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)* (pp. 214-222).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/literature-spline-interpolation-functions/22499

Simulation Modeling as a Decision-Making Aid in Economic Evaluation for Randomized Clinical Trials

Tillal Eldabi, Robert D. Macredie and Ray J. Paul (2011). *Clinical Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 1738-1758).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/simulation-modeling-decision-making-aid/53678

Current Challenges in Empowering Clinicians to Utilize Technology

Jean M. Roberts (2011). *Clinical Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 1623-1636).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/current-challenges-empowering-clinicians-utilize/53671

Current Molecular Technologies for Assessing the Amount of Microbial Pathogens in Oral Plaque Biofilms

Hans-Peter Horz and Georg Conrads (2010). *Informatics in Oral Medicine: Advanced Techniques in Clinical and Diagnostic Technologies* (pp. 64-82).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/current-molecular-technologies-assessing-amount/40439