

Chapter 1.9

Aligning a KM Strategy and Developing KM Capabilities: Towards Taxonomies and Frameworks

Jean-Pierre Booto Ekionea
University of Moncton, Canada

Deborah E. Swain
North Carolina Central University, USA

ABSTRACT

Knowledge capitalization has become a major economic driver in business today and has created enormous requirements for organizations as they reconsider their goals and adapt their business strategies. However, the definition of knowledge management in an organizational context is a difficult task to realize (Spiegler, 2000). Although knowledge is a critical resource, it is generally poorly managed (Earl, 2001). Good knowledge management in an organization is likely to help achieve business goals, but require an alignment of knowledge management strategies (KMS) and business strategies (BS). Such alignment can be

an effective approach to enhancing interactions and to applying knowledge. This chapter provides, first, a KMS and BS alignment framework and taxonomy in which concepts, links (contextual links among concepts), actors, actions and processes are defined and described to show how they provide the potential for effective knowledge management through alignment and interaction in an organization. Secondly, a KM capabilities framework and taxonomy in which three main dimensions and specific features is presented. The framework presented here is for managers in companies and organizations to use to align their KM strategies with business strategies to improve performance involving financial growth, cost reduction, and customer satisfaction.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-783-8.ch1.9

INTRODUCTION

The resource-based view of a business firm includes the nature of resources possessed by organizations and details about the qualities that such resources must maintain in order to provide sustainable, competitive advantages over time (Barney, 1991; Wernerfelt, 1984). According to this view, “the organization must possess the ability to effectively and efficiently exploit the full potential of its resources, in order to develop and maintain any potential competitive advantages” (Adams and Lamont, 2003). Furthermore, “knowledge is viewed by many as the most valuable resource, inimitable by others and sustainable if once acquired” Yu et al (2004, p.1). For this reason, large industrial groups are recognizing the strategic importance of knowledge and are improving their capability to exploit all inherited knowledge (Dieng et al, 2000) by means of good knowledge management (KM). Organizations know that knowledge could make a difference in performance, but they do not know where or how to begin management (Earl, 2003).

Because “knowledge is the critical resource rather than others and it is generally poorly managed” (Earl, 2001, p.215), KM research is needed. The literature in several disciplines and management practices indicate how important it is for knowledge to be managed. KM is a new science aiming at reorganizing business organizations around immaterial richness by a process of capturing, sharing and re-using knowledge (Dav-enport, 1998). As Jennex et al (2003, p.1) note: “Organizations are much more likely to capture knowledge benefits if they have an organizational KM strategy.” The development, implementation and use of organizational knowledge require specific strategies suitable for setting up KM and the alignment of KM strategies with business strategies to ensure that KM is an integral part of a corporate strategy (Abou-Zeid, 2003 ; Asoh et al, 2003 ; Sharkie, 2003, Roth, 2003). As Asoh et al (2003) note, there has been little research into the

alignment of KM with business strategies. Also, there seems to be a “lack of strategic models to link KM efforts and business strategy” (Maier, 2001, p.3). A lack of alignment can lead to poor strategic planning, which in turn can lead to the misuse of resources (Luftman, 2004) and poor performance.

Having the wrong strategy or no strategy causes organizations to fail to utilize their knowledge (Hansen et al, 1999). The authors of this article stress that it is not easy to undertake a study of the strategic alignment of KM and business. Such research requires clarification of terms, as Asoh et al (2003, pp.40-41) state: “First, the different perspectives of knowledge and KM may mean that researchers cannot come to terms concerning what exactly has to be aligned. Second, there is persistent confusion between the terms *information* and *knowledge*. Third, because of the confusion between information and knowledge, researchers may think they are working on KM when in fact they are researching information systems or information technologies (IS/IT). Fourth, there is a similar degree of confusion between knowledge strategies and KM strategies.”

Although beneficial to international business, models for information strategy (Leidner and Galliers, 2003) can be limited in the help they provide organizations developing global ideas for knowledge management strategy and businesses strategy alignment (KMSABSA). Applying IS/IT strategy models can also lead to focusing on a scientific “fit” between knowledge management strategy (KMS) and business strategy (BS). As a result, KMS and BS global concepts and described behaviours can become derivatives vis-à-vis other concepts and sub-concepts. But KM as a conscious practice is so new that there are few successful models for executives to use as guides (Hansen et al, 1999). Nevertheless, “there is broad agreeing in the management literature that KM has to be solidly linked to business strategy and ultimately to the creation of economic value and competi-

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/aligning-strategy-developing-capabilities/58088

Related Content

Latest Advances on Benders Decomposition

Antonios Fragkogios and Georgios K. D. Saharidis (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Business Operations and Management* (pp. 1065-1077).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/latest-advances-on-benders-decomposition/212181

Management of Customer Lifetime Value in Organizations: Strategies and Initiatives

Pratap Chandra Mandal (2023). *Journal of Business Ecosystems* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/management-of-customer-lifetime-value-in-organizations/318471

Managing and Applying Innovation in New Product Development - Strategies and Initiatives: Managing and Applying Innovation in NPD

Pratap Chandra Mandal (2019). *International Journal of R&D Innovation Strategy* (pp. 21-30).

www.irma-international.org/article/managing-and-applying-innovation-in-new-product-development---strategies-and-initiatives/250271

Exploring Paths toward Knowledge Cities Developments: A Research Agenda

Kostas Ergazakis, Kostas Metaxiotis and Emmanouil Ergazakis (2012). *Organizational Learning and Knowledge: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 3029-3038).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-paths-toward-knowledge-cities/58256

The Use of Online Social Networks and Its Influence on Job-Related Behavior: The Higher Education Context

Vera Silva Carlos and Ricardo Gouveia Rodrigues (2019). *Handbook of Research on Strategic Communication, Leadership, and Conflict Management in Modern Organizations* (pp. 106-129).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-use-of-online-social-networks-and-its-influence-on-job-related-behavior/226052