

## Chapter 3.21

# Knowledge Management under Coopetition

**Claudia Loebbecke**

*University of Cologne, Germany*

**Albert Angehrn**

*Centre for Advanced Learning Technologies (CALT), INSEAD, France*

### ABSTRACT

This article deals with Knowledge Management under Coopetition and, in this context, illustrates the concept of Coopetitive Learning and Knowledge Exchange Networks (CoLKENS). It investigates the setting for inter-organizational knowledge management initiatives focusing on issues related to cooperation-competition-dilemmas and intentional/unintentional knowledge transfer.

### INTRODUCTION

Behind the emerging digital façade, companies have started to operate in a distributed fashion. The intricate connectivity among these firms implies the exchange of valuable resources like knowledge and information. Such ‘cooperation’ or ‘collaboration’ is what enables organizations and individuals to make decisions collectively, learn from one another, communicate effectively, and thus create knowledge (Brown & Duguid, 1991; Huber, 1991; McDonald, 1995; von Krogh & Roos, 1995; Grant & Baden-Fuller, 2004).

However, cooperating organizations often simultaneously compete (coopetition). While reciprocal knowledge sharing may enhance the total

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-783-8.ch3.21

and individual added value, inter-firm knowledge sharing may also affect the uniqueness and thus competitive contribution of a firm's knowledge repository. Opportunistic behavior of counterparts may erode anticipated benefits of cooperation and result in unevenly distributed value.

The inherent balancing act between cooperation and competition requires designing and implementing specific management processes to enable economic value maximization for participating individuals and firms. The value-driven balancing act is becoming increasingly relevant in business practice.

The article introduces the scientific literature on Knowledge Management under Coopetition and then describes the concept of 'Coopetitive Learning and Knowledge Exchange Networks' (CoLKENS), their components and their generic structure. It reviews CoLKEN fundamentals and components and suggests a CoLKEN taxonomy. Key research questions are followed by generalized key insights from studying CoLKENS as the setting for Knowledge Management under Coopetition. The article then examines the levers for managing CoLKENS and closes with future trends and brief conclusions.

## **BACKGROUND**

The following literature review provides broad definitions and discussions relevant to Knowledge Management under Coopetition.

### **Fundamental Components of Knowledge Management under Coopetition**

Knowledge is a complex concept and difficult to define, and when seen from a management perspective it exhibits unique properties that are distinctly different from the ones of traditional corporate resources, such as land, labor and capital. Intellectual resources are not naturally

scarce (Suchmann, 1989; Argyres & Silverman, 2004); knowledge may increase in value the more it is used, with investment in knowledge and knowledge-creating capabilities characterized by increasing returns (Teece, 1998; Smith, Collins, & Clark, 2005). These properties tend to make knowledge less amenable to management (Polanyi, 1966; Hedlund, 1994; Nonaka, 1994; Boisot, 1995; Grant & Baden-Fuller, 2004).

Who are appropriate knowledge agents for Knowledge Management under Coopetition? Who is intellectually capable, the organization or its individual employees? Does knowledge reside at individual and the organizational level? Among others, Drucker (1993) or Grant (1996) stress the predominant importance of individuals. Others (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Spender, 1996; Boisot, 1998; Lane & Lubatkin, 1998; Matusik & Hill, 1998; Crossan, Lane, & White, 1999; Inkpen, 2000; Dyer & Hatch, 2006; Inkpen & Pien, 2006) consider organizational cognition or organizations as cognitive entities a suitable unit of analysis. In the organization science literature, organizational learning is a central tenet (Huber, 1991; Simon, 1991; Argyris & Schön, 1996; Reagans & McEvily, 2003; Hansen, Mors, & Lovas, 2005) and is believed to lead to competitive advantage (Senge, 1990; Moingeon & Edmondson, 1996; Hansen & Nohria, 2004; Dyer & Hatch, 2006; Lavie, 2006). It is closely intertwined with inter-organizational learning (e.g. Larsson, Bengtsson, Henriksson, & Sparks, 1998, 1998; Greve, 2005) as the learning entities in both concepts positively affect each other (Doz & Hamel, 1998; Child, 2001; Holmquist, 2003).

Knowledge Networks are commonly defined as formally set up mechanisms, structures, and behavioral patterns that connect knowledge agents who were not previously connected because of functional, hierarchical, or legal boundaries between organizations. Inter-organizational knowledge networks (e.g. Mowery, Oxley, & Silverman, 1996; Klein, 1996; Inkpen & Tsang, 2005; Dyer & Hatch, 2006; Inkpen & Pien, 2006)

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-management-under-coopetition/58147](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-management-under-coopetition/58147)

## Related Content

---

### WDACS Scheme as PBNM for Plural Organizations

(2017). *Strategic Policy-Based Network Management in Contemporary Organizations* (pp. 213-223).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/wdacs-scheme-as-pbnm-for-plural-organizations/168866](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/wdacs-scheme-as-pbnm-for-plural-organizations/168866)

### A Leadership and Ethical Analysis of the Scholar-Practitioner

Abeni El-Amin (2022). *International Journal of Responsible Leadership and Ethical Decision-Making* (pp. 1-13).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-leadership-and-ethical-analysis-of-the-scholar-practitioner/313032](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-leadership-and-ethical-analysis-of-the-scholar-practitioner/313032)

### Social Media as Efficacious Tool for Teaching

Swati Panchal and Lakshay Panchal (2018). *Radical Reorganization of Existing Work Structures Through Digitalization* (pp. 172-186).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-media-as-efficacious-tool-for-teaching/191435](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-media-as-efficacious-tool-for-teaching/191435)

### Strengthening Quality Initiative for Organization Stability: Insights From Trust in Cyberspace-Based Information Quality

Miftachul Huda, Mohamad Nabil Almunawar, April Lia Hananto, Budi Rismayadi, Kamarul Azmi Jasmi, Bushrah Basiron and Mohd Ismail Mustari (2018). *Cases on Quality Initiatives for Organizational Longevity* (pp. 140-169).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/strengthening-quality-initiative-for-organization-stability/209859](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/strengthening-quality-initiative-for-organization-stability/209859)

### Workflow Technology Supporting Information Filtering from the Internet

Witold Abramowicz and Jacek Szymanski (2003). *Technologies & Methodologies for Evaluating Information Technology in Business* (pp. 160-173).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/workflow-technology-supporting-information-filtering/30134](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/workflow-technology-supporting-information-filtering/30134)