

## Chapter 24

# Meta–Analysis as a Tool for Assessing University–Wide Student Learning Outcomes

**Hansel Burley**

*Texas Tech University, USA*

**Bolanle Olaniran**

*Texas Tech University, USA*

### **ABSTRACT**

*This chapter examines the efficacy of using meta-analysis techniques for the assessment of a university core course requirement that a university delivers through many courses, across several colleges of the university. Meta-analysis is a set of techniques for combining the results of many studies in order to understand the effects of a treatment as it is applied to an entire field. While this technique has been used has been used as a research literature review technique, we believe that meta-analysis procedures can bring both robust and orderly assessment of program effectiveness across courses that meeting a single learning objective, even though the courses themselves may come from divergent fields. Meta-analysis has the advantage of all assessments not needing to be the same because the researcher converts the results of each assessment to a common statistic, an effect size. In a program evaluation context, this means that professors can use their own embedded classroom assessments as their contribution to a university-wide assessment. An institutional researcher could also combine these for a general assessment of student learning. Additionally, because the embedded assessment is a natural part of the class, it is unobtrusive to instruction in the class and invisible to students.*

*The researchers included 10 embedded assessments in the study for a mean gain effect size of .35. From the results of a pilot study, the authors learned that while the technique works, questions about the reliability and validity of the classroom assessments emerged. The authors speculate that institutional researchers can use these techniques to compare the assessment of standards within and among universities.*

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-857-6.ch024

## **BACKGROUND**

Increasingly, regional accrediting groups and state accrediting bodies require improved assessments of student learning. The question of how best to produce evidence of student learning is an increasingly important one, specifically since these external groups have uniformly agreed that courses grades are not enough. In fact, in the last decade, an industry has emerged that serves this purpose. One classic external assessments include the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE). This assessment focuses on student motivation to engage both curricular and extracurricular activities on campus. Another large assessment, called the Collegiate Learning Assessment (CLA), focuses more on critical and analytical reasoning and implied and explicit outcomes of students' encountering the college curriculum. Once the university collects these data, it can get a broad profile of student learning. However, both the NSSE and the CLA (as examples of a class of university-wide assessments) fall short because they are not necessarily aligned with what actually occurs in a college classroom, which limits their usefulness to curriculum change. Therefore, even though these measures have demonstrated high favor among those who evaluate programs, the instruments may not be valid for a particular institution that has learning objectives that are specific that institution.

Meta-analysis is a statistical technique designed for summarizing the results of quantitative studies. Lipsey and Wilson (2001) call meta-analysis a form of survey research in which researchers survey studies rather than respondents. Meta-analysis is particularly useful when aggregating findings across a broad field of study, even if the studies use different summary statistics. In his original meta-analysis, Glass (1976) combined the results of different psychotherapies drawn from the entire field of psychology. He was able to draw powerful conclusions about the overall effectiveness of psychotherapy and insightful comparisons

about the differences among various approaches, despite the use of different measurement tools. In an analogous fashion, meta-analysis can be used in program evaluation when a broad group of diverse courses share the same student learning objectives. This can be a powerful assessment design that complements external standardized external assessments with instructor-generated embedded assessments.

As a response to the limitations of external assessments, many institutions are turning to embedded assessments, where assessment resides with the instructor and data gathering and reporting is flexible enough to allow participation from disparate fields (Gerretson & Golson, 2005). These assessment activities mimic typical course activities, so they are invisible to students. However, instructional and assessment approaches among instructors will certainly vary, even among instructors teaching the same course. This forces assessment specialists to find innovative ways of determining student proficiency on a learning objective across many different types of embedded assessments. This chapter proposes that meta-analysis may be the most organized and systematic approach to harnessing the power of instructor-driven embedded assessments.

Traditionally, institutions draw upon standardized external assessments to help provide evidence of student learning in the general education curriculum. These assessments can be expensive. Often the external assessments do not exactly match student learning objectives. Additionally, institutions may resort to unusual steps in order to create a sample of needed respondents. In fact, when and how samples are created can confound results. For example, just before the due date for an accreditation self-report, the researchers have personally seen institutional research and planning officers trolling the student union building handing out t-shirts or basketball tickets to any undergraduate who will take out two hours to complete a battery of assessment tools. Convenience sampling techniques are non-trivial and can lead

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/meta-analysis-tool-assessing-university/60860](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/meta-analysis-tool-assessing-university/60860)

## Related Content

---

### A Survey of Feature Selection Techniques

Barak Chizi, Lior Rokach and Oded Maimon (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 1888-1895).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/survey-feature-selection-techniques/11077](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/survey-feature-selection-techniques/11077)

### Data Confidentiality and Chase-Based Knowledge Discovery

Seunghyun Imand and Zbigniew W. Ras (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 361-366).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-confidentiality-chase-based-knowledge/10845](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-confidentiality-chase-based-knowledge/10845)

### Feature Selection

Damien François (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 878-882).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/feature-selection/10923](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/feature-selection/10923)

### Data Mining for Structural Health Monitoring

Ramdev Kanapady and Aleksandar Lazarevic (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 450-457).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-mining-structural-health-monitoring/10859](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-mining-structural-health-monitoring/10859)

### Flexible Mining of Association Rules

Hong Shen (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 890-894).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/flexible-mining-association-rules/10925](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/flexible-mining-association-rules/10925)