



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hershey PA 17033-1117, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.irm-press.com **ITB9300**

Chapter IV

A Framework for Research into Business-IT Alignment: A Cognitive Emphasis

Felix B. Tan The University of Auckland, New Zealand

> R. Brent Gallupe Queen's University, Canada

ABSTRACT

Contemporary empirical research into business-IT alignment is almost entirely behavioral in its focus. It explores the alignment issue by examining the ways in which organisations behave. In contrast, few studies have attempted to investigate the issue from a cognitive perspective. Managerial cognition is an area of growing interest and importance in strategic management. This chapter proposes a framework to guide research into business-IT alignment. It reviews alignment research and also considers some of the cognitive theories and methodologies that may be appropriate for the study of alignment.

INTRODUCTION

One of the top two concerns of business and information systems (IS) executives is the need to improve alignment between information technology (IT) and business strategy (Galliers, Merali, & Spearing, 1994; Watson &

Brancheau, 1991). Known as business-IT alignment, this issue is an important concern within the practitioner community.

The 1990s saw great strides in the conceptual development and in the empirical examination of different aspects of alignment. A frequently cited conceptual work on alignment is Henderson and Venkatraman's (1992) Strate-gic Alignment Model. This framework describes the interplay between IT and business domains. Bulk of the empirical investigations on this subject focuses on relationships between business strategy domains and IT strategy domains and their impact upon firm performance (Burn, 1996; Chan, Huff, Copeland, & Barclay, 1997; Tan, 1995, 1997). These studies agree that alignment is important to IS effectiveness and firm performance. Another aspect of alignment research concerns the conditions under which alignment may be achieved. Several studies have examined factors that influence alignment (Broadbent & Weill, 1993; Luftman, Papp, & Brier, 1999; Reich & Benbasat, 2000). All the above studies may be considered behavioral in nature and have largely ignored the impact of managerial cognition on organisational action.

Cognition in organisations is an area of growing interest and importance in strategic management and research (Huff, 1990; Walsh, 1995). The emergence of this research perspective in strategic management stems from a growing acceptance of the notion that organisations possess cognitive capabilities and that organisational development is dependent upon collective or shared managerial cognition (Stubbart, 1989). Other works on cognition within organisational action (Axelrod, 1976; Calori, Johnson, & Sarnin, 1992; Weick, 1984). Furthermore, there are those who contend that organisations consist of systems of interpretation with organisational members attempting to make sense of their constantly changing environments (Weick, 1995, 2001).

A relatively small but growing body of cognitive research can be found in the IS field. It primarily addresses the areas of development, implementation, and use of IT (DeSanctis & Poole, 1994; Griffith & Northcraft, 1996; Orlikowski & Gash, 1994). Despite increasing acceptance of cognition in IS research, it is not apparent that a cognitive stream exists within the discipline of business-IT alignment.

This chapter calls for increased cognitive emphasis in business-IT alignment research. It proposes a framework guiding business-IT alignment research. The framework takes into account the behavioral/cognitive contrast in strategic management and hopes to offer researchers in the field some guidance in the study of alignment from a cognitive approach.

The chapter begins with an introduction to business-IT alignment—a definition and a historical overview. A framework summarizing recent alignment research is then presented. Noteworthy literature is discussed using this framework: types of published alignment research are identified, and areas that need further attention are suggested. Next, we consider some of the cognitive

Copyright © 2003, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

22 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/framework-research-into-business-</u> alignment/6103

Related Content

Virtual Product Development in University-Enterprise Partnership

George Dragoi, Anca Draghici, Sebastian Marius Rosuand Costel Emil Cotet (2010). Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 43-59). www.irma-international.org/article/virtual-product-development-university-enterprise/43720

An Explanatory Approach to the Political Uses of ICT Initiatives for Participation: Institutions, Context, and Characteristics of the Actors

Rosa Borge (2008). Information Communication Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 582-599). www.irma-international.org/chapter/explanatory-approach-political-uses-ict/22689

Exploring Factors Determining Chinese College Students' Satisfaction With E-Government Services: The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) Approach

Isaac Kofi Mensahand Chuanyong Luo (2021). *Information Resources Management Journal (pp. 1-20).*

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-factors-determining-chinese-college-studentssatisfaction-with-e-government-services/280067

Decision Point: IT Infrastructure Mismatch

Susan Codone (2009). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology (pp. 18-30).* www.irma-international.org/article/decision-point-infrastructure-mismatch/4117

User Relevance Feedback in Semantic Information Retrieval

Antonio Picarielloand Antonio M. Rinaldi (2009). *Emerging Topics and Technologies in Information Systems (pp. 270-281).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/user-relevance-feedback-semantic-information/10203