

Chapter V

Theoretical and Instructional Aspects of Learning with Visualizations

Katharina Scheiter

University of Tuebingen, Germany

Eric Wiebe

North Carolina State University, USA

Jana Holsanova

Lund University, Sweden

ABSTRACT

Multimedia environments consist of verbal and visual representations that, if appropriately processed, allow for the construction of an integrated mental model of the content. Whereas much is known on how students learn from verbal representations, there are fewer insights regarding the processing of visual information, alone or in conjunction with text. This chapter uses a semiotics approach to provide a definition of visualizations as a specific form of external representation, and then discusses the differences between verbal and visual representations in how they represent information. Finally, it discusses how meaning is achieved when learning with them. The next section discusses basic perceptual and cognitive processes relevant to learning with visualizations. This background is used to specify the instructional functions that visualizations have either as self-contained instructional messages or as text adjuncts. Moreover, the role of individual differences in processing visualizations is highlighted. The chapter ends with methodological suggestions concerning the important role of interdisciplinary research and assessment methods in this area.

INTRODUCTION

Visualizations constitute a key component in multimedia-based instruction, which can be defined as learning from text and pictures (e.g., Mayer, 2005). Despite the fact that visualizations are used more and more frequently in informal and formal educational settings, not much is understood about their semiotic properties, how humans process them, and how they can be best designed to learn from. In educational research, visualizations are often treated in a uniform manner, despite the fact that the visualizations might serve vastly different functions depending on the audience and goals. Just as bad, visualizations are treated as functionally equivalent to text. As a consequence, reviews on learning with visualizations are equivocal, with studies showing widely varying effects (negative to positive) on learning. In the current chapter, we will try to provide a more differentiated view by first reviewing the literature from different disciplinary perspectives (education, semiotics, perception, and cognition) to characterize different types of visualizations, to distinguish them from verbal representations, and to describe how information is derived from them. This approach will attempt to provide a unique approach to addressing the question of when and why visualizations are effective for learning. After some summarizing remarks, directions for future research will be outlined in the final section of this chapter. It is important to note, however, that we will not review the more mainstream literature on the effectiveness of learning with visualizations, as comprehensive reviews can be found elsewhere (e.g., Anglin, Vaez, & Cunningham, 2004; Rieber, 1994).

BACKGROUND

What are Visualizations?

Visualizations are a specific form of external representation that are intended to communicate

information by using a visuo-spatial layout of this information and that are processed in the visual sensory system. According to Rieber (1990, p. 45) “visualization is defined as representations of information consisting of spatial, nonarbitrary (i.e. “picture-like” qualities resembling actual objects or events), and continuous (i.e., an “all-in-oneness” quality) characteristics”. Visualizations are often best understood through the context of their use (MacEachren & Kraak, 1997). In as much as visualizations are created to communicate in a learning or problem-solving context, these visualizations are typically based on models and leverage human perceptual and cognitive abilities to efficiently and effectively convey information (Gilbert, 2005). The model and its use in the context of the visualization then drive particulars of the visualization—from the visual metaphors employed to the dynamic characteristics of elements (Bertoline & Wiebe, 2003).

External representations such as visualizations are defined with regard to their relation to the real world. “The nature of representation is that there exists a correspondence (mapping) from objects in the represented world to objects in the representing world such that at least some relations in the represented world are structurally preserved in the representing world” (Palmer, 1978, p. 266). Thus, a representation is defined through its structural correspondence to what it stands for (i.e., the referent) and is hence analogical to the referent. By means of this analogy, representations can act as a substitute for the referent and evoke similar responses as the real-world referent. Semiotics is an approach that can be used to more rigorously analyze the relationship between the signs that make up a visualization, the underlying intended instructional message of the visualization, and the learning task context in which the visualizations are being employed.

Using semiotics as a methodology, visualizations can be understood and organized in ways that better guides their creation and intended use. Peirce (1960) identified three forms of relations between the representation and the represented

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/theoretical-instructional-aspects-learning-visualizations/6606

Related Content

Individual and Collaborative Approaches in E-Learning Design

Abel Usoro, Grzegorz Majewski and Len Bloom (2010). *Affective, Interactive and Cognitive Methods for E-Learning Design: Creating an Optimal Education Experience* (pp. 51-71).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/individual-collaborative-approaches-learning-design/40551

Technology Collaboration (Level 2.0)

Lawrence A. Tomei (2005). *Taxonomy for the Technology Domain* (pp. 126-146).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-collaboration-level/30048

Collaborative Learning: Leveraging Concept Mapping and Cognitive Flexibility Theory

Chaka Chaka (2010). *Handbook of Research on Collaborative Learning Using Concept Mapping* (pp. 152-170).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaborative-learning-leveraging-concept-mapping/36294

Human Cognitive Processes

Slava Kalyuga (2009). *Managing Cognitive Load in Adaptive Multimedia Learning* (pp. 1-33).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/human-cognitive-processes/25730

Complexity as a Resource

Luca Iandoli and Giuseppe Zollo (2007). *Organizational Cognition and Learning: Building Systems for the Learning Organization* (pp. 23-41).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/complexity-resource/27885