Chapter 6 Approaches for Measuring Sustainability

Evangelos Grigoroudis *Technical University of Crete, Greece*

Vassilis S. Kouikoglou Technical University of Crete, Greece

Yannis A. Phillis *Technical University of Crete, Greece*

ABSTRACT

The environment provides the economy with resources (e.g., water, air, fuels, food, metals, minerals, and drugs), services (e.g., the cycles of H_2O , C, CO_2 , N, O_2 ; photosynthesis, and soil formation), and mechanisms to absorb waste. Economic growth is based on these three services, and since the global ecosystem does not grow, economic growth cannot continue indefinitely. The concepts of sustainability and sustainable development have received much attention among policy-makers and scientists as a result of the existence of limits to growth and the dramatic environmental changes of the last decades. Sustainability integrates environmental, economic, and societal aspects. It also covers different geographical scales: ecosystems, regions, countries, and the globe. In this chapter, the authors review various models of sustainability assessment. Since there is no universally accepted definition and measuring technique of sustainability, these different models lead to different assessments. They also present a discussion of the sustainability indicators, aggregation tools, and data imputation techniques used in each approach.

INTRODUCTION

In 1987, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) released the Brundtland Report (UNEP, 1987), which defines sustainable development as "development which meets the needs

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1625-7.ch006

of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." The report recognizes sustainable development as distinct from environmental protection, and suggests that economic development should be ecologically viable and that environmental protection does not preclude economic development. The United Nations 2005 World Summit



Figure 1. The three structural dimensions of sustainable development

Outcome Document refers to the "interdependent and mutually reinforcing pillars" of sustainable development as economic development, social development, and environmental protection (WHO, 2005). Others suggest that "sustainability occurs when we maintain or improve the material and social conditions for human health and the environment over time without exceeding the ecological capabilities that support them."

As noted by Voinov and Smith (1994) and Adams (2006), sustainable development encompasses environmental, social and economic dimensions (Figure 1). Other researchers and international organizations suggest that cultural sustainability should be added as a fourth dimension (see for example UNESCO, 2002).

Often two general types of sustainability are distinguished: strong and weak sustainability. Strong sustainability focuses primarily on the environment whereas weak sustainability on the economy. Weak sustainability assumes that we can substitute natural capital with manufactured capital thanks to human ingenuity. This approach, however, has several shortcomings since it is difficult or often impossible to assign prices or weights to natural resources and also certain natural resources such as oxygen, water, a stable climate cannot be substituted. Adams (2006) believes that the main reason why the environment continues to be degraded and development does not achieve desirable equity goals is the prevalence of the concept of weak sustainability among policy-makers since development decisions by governments and businesses usually have shortterm goals and are biased towards economic development, thus ignoring the other dimensions of sustainability.

Despite the lack of a clear definition, it is evident that sustainability should be treated holistically comprising ecological, social, and economic components. The systems approach proposed in Voinov and Smith (1994) assumes that it is impossible to sustain one part of the total system without the others being involved. In systems 28 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/approaches-measuring-sustainability/66215

Related Content

How to Manage the Value of Drinking Water by Using Extension on the Contingent Valuation Method

Ali Bouchrika, Fakhri Issaouiand Slah Slimani (2022). International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/how-to-manage-the-value-of-drinking-water-by-using-extension-on-the-contingentvaluation-method/292072

Analysis of Instrumental and Institutional Change

José G. Vargas-Hernandez, Elsa Patricia Orozco-Quijanoand Omar C. Vargas-Gonzàlez (2024). Organizational Management Sustainability in VUCA Contexts (pp. 219-240). www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-of-instrumental-and-institutional-change/340920

Measuring Happiness Index and Electronic Gadgets Radiations on AI IoT Systems: Return to Indian Scriptures and Science for Mental Fitness During Global Threats

Rohit Rastogi, D.K. Chaturvedi, Mamta Saxena, Sheelu Sagar, Mayank Gupta, Rohan Choudharyand Ujjawal Sharma (2022). International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development (pp. 1-37). www.irma-international.org/article/measuring-happiness-index-and-electronic-gadgets-radiations-on-ai-iotsystems/297929

Effect of Training on Shipboard Oil Pollution Violations

Abdul Hamid Saharuddinand R. Balaji (2014). Marine Technology and Sustainable Development: Green Innovations (pp. 255-263).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effect-of-training-on-shipboard-oil-pollution-violations/84530

Sustainability Criteria for Tourism Attractions: A Case Study of Germany

Michael C. Ottenbacher, Sina Schwebler, Daniel Metzlerand Robert J. Harrington (2015). International Journal of Social Ecology and Sustainable Development (pp. 20-39).

www.irma-international.org/article/sustainability-criteria-for-tourism-attractions/125829