

IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

This paper appears in the publication, *Collaborative Geographic Information Systems* edited by Shivanand Balram and Suzana Dragicevic © 2006, Idea Group Inc.

Chapter X

Multicriteria Decision Analysis for Collaborative GIS

Jacek Malczewski, University of Western Ontario, Canada

Abstract

This chapter provides a critical review of GIS-based multicriteria decision analysis (GIS-MCDA) for supporting group (collaborative and participatory) decision making. The review is based on a survey of referred papers that have been published over the last 15 years or so. The chapter offers a classification of the GIS-MCDA approaches for group decision making. First, the articles are classified according to the generic elements of the MCDA methods. Second, the GIS-MCDA methods are classified according to the various perspectives on collaborative decision support. These taxonomies of the GIS-MCDA approaches provide a background for an evaluation of the contribution of MCDA to GIS-based collaborative decision making.

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

At the most rudimentary level, GIS-based multicriteria decision analysis (GIS-MCDA) can be thought of as a procedure for combining geographical data and value judgments (the decision maker's preferences) to obtain information for decision making. In group settings, GIS-MCDA takes the form of aggregating individual judgments into a group preference so that a consensus or compromise alternative can be identified. It involves a set of activities such as (Limayem & DeSanctis, 2000) problem definition and structuring; identification of evaluation criteria by group members; determination of individual preferences with respect to evaluation criteria and/or alternatives; combination of individual judgments into a single collective preference; sensitivity analysis with respect to the set of evaluation criteria and alternatives; exploration of alternative ways of combining individual preferences into group judgments; refinement of individual and group preferences through negotiation, collaboration and consensus seeking; and final ordering of alternatives so that a compromise alternative can be selected (see also Jankowski & Nyerges, 2001a; Malczewski, 1999). A comprehensive survey of MCDA methods for group decision making can be found in Hwang and Lin (1987).

There is now a well-established body of literature on GIS-MCDA (e.g., Banai, 1993; Carver, 1991; Chakhar & Martel, 2003; Church, Loban, & Lombard, 1992; Diamond & Wright, 1988; Eastman, Kyem, Toledano, & Jin, 1993; Heywood, Oliver, & Tomlinson, 1995; Jankowski, 1995; Janssen & Rietveld, 1990; Joerin, Theriault, & Musy, 2001; Laaribi, 2000; Laaribi, Chevallier, & Martel, 1996; Malczewski, 1999; Pereira & Duckstein, 1993; Thill, 1999). The basic rationale behind the efforts to integrate MCDA into GIS is that the two distinctive areas of research can complement each other. While GIS offers unique capabilities for storing, managing, analyzing, and visualizing spatial data for decision making, MCDA provides a rich collection of techniques and procedures for structuring decision problems, designing, evaluating, and prioritizing alternative decisions. Although the GIS-MCDA approaches have traditionally focused on the MCDA techniques for individual decision making, considerable efforts have recently been made to integrate GIS with MCDA for group decision making (Bennett, Wade, & Armstrong, 1999; Feick & Hall, 1999, 2002; Jankowski & Nyerges, 2001a, 2001b; Jankowski, Nyerges, Smith, Moore, & Horvath, 1997; Kyem, 2001, 2004; Malczewski, 1996; Nyerges, Montejano, Oshiro, & Dadswell, 1997).

The aim of this chapter is to provide a critical review of the MCDA approaches for collaborative GIS. The review is based on a survey of refereed papers on GIS-MCDA that have been published between 1990 and 2004. Abstracts of those papers are available at http://publish.uwo.ca/~jmalczew/gis-mcda.htm. In addition, the Web site provides a description of the literature survey method. Of

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/multcriteria-decision-analysis-

collaborative-gis/6658

Related Content

Nature of Geographic Knowledge Bases

Robert Laurini (2017). Handbook of Research on Geographic Information Systems Applications and Advancements (pp. 29-60). www.irma-international.org/chapter/nature-of-geographic-knowledge-bases/169984

Applied Geography Education in Focus: Strategic Panel Session

Nairne Cameron, Edwin Butterworth, Dawna L. Cerney, William J. Gribb, Kingsley E. Haynes, Bill Hodge, Robert B. Honeaand Brandon J. Vogt (2012). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research (pp. 97-107).* www.irma-international.org/article/applied-geography-education-focus/68859

Semantic Interoperability of Geospatial Services

Iftikhar U. Sikderand Santosh Misra (2013). *Geographic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 336-357).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/semantic-interoperability-geospatial-services/70449

GIS Application of Healthcare Data for Advancing Epidemiological Studies

Joseph M. Woodsideand Iftikhar U. Sikder (2013). *Geographic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1183-1199).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/gis-application-healthcare-data-advancing/70499

GIS and Decision-Making in Business: A Literature Review

Esperanza Huerta, Celene Navarreteand Terry Ryan (2005). *Geographic Information Systems in Business (pp. 20-35).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/gis-decision-making-business/18861