



## **Chapter XVII**

# **Collaborative Geographic Information Systems and Science: A Transdisciplinary Evolution**

Suzana Dragičević, Simon Fraser University, Canada  
Shivanand Balram, Simon Fraser University, Canada

*Today's scientists have substituted mathematics for experiments,  
and they wander off through equation after equation, and  
eventually build a structure which has no relationship to reality.*

*Nikola Tesla (1857-1943)*

*The significant problems we face cannot be solved at the same  
level of thinking we were at when we created them.*

*Albert Einstein (1879-1955)*

## **Abstract**

---

*The relevant research literatures, together with the issues articulated by  
chapter authors in this book, are used to characterize some of the conceptual  
and technical hurdles of collaborative GIS. The intention is to examine how  
collaborative GIS can consolidate and expand its reaches in research and*

*applications. This is necessary, given the increasing complexity of environmental and societal problems. Three areas for future research explorations are synthesized. These areas encompass scale effects, system modeling, and distributive planning. While there may be other equally valid research areas, the focus is to encourage a transdisciplinary infusion to enrich collaborative GIS. With a transdisciplinary approach, integrated solutions can be developed that use the best available knowledge to narrow conceptual gaps between technical experts and the general public, towards more effective planning, problem solving, and decision-making. Game theory, ontological engineering, and agent technology are proposed as transdisciplinary means to enrich current collaborative GIS research and applications.*

## Introduction

---

The integration of the “systems” and “science” of geographic information is an ongoing endeavour (Duckham, Goodchild, & Worboys, 2003; Goodchild, 1992). Hence, an important goal of collaborative GIS research and implementation is to meet the challenge set forth in the Geographic Information Science Research Agenda (2005) for GIS and Society which states: “On the other hand, it is equally important to investigate intensive, local, contextualized, and individual relations to the [GIS] technology. At the same time, while there is a requirement for precise and rigorous quantitative work, there is an equal need for the very best theory, concepts, and practice in qualitative analysis” (Elmes, Epstein, McMaster, Niemann, Poore, Sheppard, et al., 2005, p.306). The desire for quantitative and qualitative methodological integration of the best available knowledge makes transdisciplinary research an appropriate paradigm to reconcile the “systems” and “science” of collaborative GIS.

The chapters of this book outlined the historical trends and current capabilities of collaborative GIS, and therefore provide a strong basis to synthesize areas for future research. The research areas identified are collaborative GIS and scale effects; collaborative GIS and system modeling; and collaborative GIS and distributive planning. There may be arguments for other research areas (Bailey, Dragicevic, Ghose, Harris, McMaster, & Nyerges, 2005), but the focus in this book is to encourage transdisciplinarity.

Transdisciplinary research deals with the integration and transformation of perspectives from multiple disciplines to create new knowledge for solving complex societal problems (Max-Neef, 2005). A transdisciplinary infusion can occur at the GIScience, GIS and society, participatory GIS, and group spatial

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaborative-geographic-information-systems-science/6665](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaborative-geographic-information-systems-science/6665)

## Related Content

---

### Usage of BIM in Smart Cities

Didem Ugurluand Begum Sertyesilisik (2019). *International Journal of Digital Innovation in the Built Environment* (pp. 17-27).

[www.irma-international.org/article/usage-of-bim-in-smart-cities/245733](http://www.irma-international.org/article/usage-of-bim-in-smart-cities/245733)

### Reinforcing the Place of Dynamic Spatialised Indicators in a Generic Socioeconomic Model

Fenintsoa Andriamasinoro (2013). *Geographic Information Analysis for Sustainable Development and Economic Planning: New Technologies* (pp. 313-334).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/reinforcing-place-dynamic-spatialised-indicators/69065](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/reinforcing-place-dynamic-spatialised-indicators/69065)

### Location-Aware Mobile Media and Advertising: A Chinese Case

Mei Wuand Qi Yao (2016). *Geospatial Research: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 201-218).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/location-aware-mobile-media-and-advertising/149494](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/location-aware-mobile-media-and-advertising/149494)

### Fog Computing Architecture for Scalable Processing of Geospatial Big Data

Rabindra K. Barik, Rojalina Priyadarshini, Rakesh K. Lenka, Harishchandra Dubeyand Kunal Mankodiya (2020). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research* (pp. 1-20).

[www.irma-international.org/article/fog-computing-architecture-for-scalable-processing-of-geospatial-big-data/240177](http://www.irma-international.org/article/fog-computing-architecture-for-scalable-processing-of-geospatial-big-data/240177)

### Clustering Patterns and Hot Spots of Opioid Overdoses in Louisville, Kentucky: A Spatial Analysis of the Opioid Epidemic

Gregory S. Hessand Charlie H. Zhang (2022). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research* (pp. 1-15).

[www.irma-international.org/article/clustering-patterns-and-hot-spots-of-opioid-overdoses-in-louisville-kentucky/298303](http://www.irma-international.org/article/clustering-patterns-and-hot-spots-of-opioid-overdoses-in-louisville-kentucky/298303)