Chapter 20

Some Research Challenges for Studies of Virtual Communities Using On-Line Tells

Chris Kimble

Euromed Management, Université Montpellier II, France

ABSTRACT

This article reports on a study of learning and the coordination of activities in a geographically distributed community (a research consortium) using survey / Social Network Analysis methods combined with interviews. This article comments on and expands some of the important issues that were raised. After outlining the wider context, it highlights two broad themes related to research in the area of Virtual Communities: the nature of the communities themselves and the way in which they are studied. Following this, four areas for future research are outlined: the continuing role of face-to-face communication in Virtual Communities; the significance of the dual nature of such groups; the importance (or otherwise) of the structure of such communities; and the role played by exogenous factors. The article concludes with some comments on where this field relates to the debate among social theorists about the role of agency and structure in human activities.

1 INTRODUCTION

The article reports on a study of learning and the coordination of activities within a geographically distributed community (the research consortium) that is involved in conducting and managing collaborative research. The consortium was created by the Canadian federal government to encourage multidisciplinary research and innovation in the area of water related issues such as watershed

and ecosystems research, water infrastructure, threats to water supplies and water treatment. It consists of around 140 academics and practitioners dispersed across the country. The academics are drawn from a wide range of disciplines and cover many different research areas; the practitioners include employees from various levels of government, industrial partners, conservation bodies and members of Non-Governmental Organizations. The membership of the community changes over

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1553-3.ch020

time and with projects and, although a number of the groups engage in face-to-face meetings of various sorts, the group as a whole is described as a Virtual Community of Practice.

Although the research consortium has a number of unique features, it is also an example of the wider phenomenon of virtual (i.e. geographically distributed) groups that work together and are described variously as Virtual Teams, Task or Project Groups; Virtual or Electronic Communities of Practice and Knowledge Networks or Networks of Practice (Dubé, Bourhis, & Jacob, 2005; Jarvenpaa & Leidner, 1999; Vaast, 2004; Wasko & Faraj, 2000). The principal objective of this article is to take some of the themes highlighted by Dimitrova and Koku and place them in a wider research context. We will begin by examining two fundamental questions that any research into this area must face.

2 TWO BROAD RESEARCH QUESTIONS RELATING TO VIRTUAL COMMUNITIES

Before looking at the issues raised by Dimitrova and Koku's article, it is perhaps worthwhile to step back and look at some of the more general problems faced by research into Virtual Communities. Exactly what do we mean by Virtual Communities and what are the most appropriate ways to study them? Although the questions might seem banal, pausing for a moment to reflect on these issues will help to highlight some of the key difficulties that are faced in attempting to examine the themes for future research that are contained in the following section.

2.1 What is the Nature of Such Groups?

People working together as geographically distributed groups is not a new phenomenon as such, however the explosive growth of digital technologies, and the communications revolution that followed (Cairneross, 1997; McLuhan & Powers, 1989), opened the door to the myriad of "new organisational forms" that can be found in the current literature. Although the term "Virtual Community" only came into popular use about a decade ago following the publication of Howard Rheingold's book (Rheingold, 1993), this new concept has been quickly accepted and has become part of everyday life (Sayago & Blat, 2010). The notion of "Virtual" working has become commonplace.

Although the notion of Virtual Communities may be commonplace, this does not mean that it is fully understood. While co-located, face-to-face groups have been the topic of study and conjecture for many years, their similarities to, and differences from, "Virtual" groups is far from clear. For example, although many of the early studies of Virtual Communities focused on the issue of identity (Bruckman, 1993; Turkle, 1995), understanding how the sense of identity that characterizes the "esprit de corps" of co-located groups (who share the same experiences in the physical world) translates to the virtual world (where the nature of the shared experience is much less tenuous) remains vague.

2.2 How Do We Study Them?

If the way these groups function is not well understood, how then should we study them to improve our knowledge? Geographically distributed groups that rely on technologically mediated forms of communication and computer based communication in particular, offer opportunities to collect data that do not exist in "natural" groups. Because interaction takes place via some form of technology, the traces of that interaction are easily accessible and available in a form that facilitates processing and analysis. However, although messages and patterns of interaction between individuals can be captured in volume and analysed in detail, as with the groups themselves,

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/some-research-challenges-studies-virtual/67246

Related Content

Developing REALSpace: Discourse on a Student-Centered Creative Knowledge Environment for Virtual Communities of Learning

Kam Hou Vat (2009). *International Journal of Virtual Communities and Social Networking (pp. 43-74).* www.irma-international.org/article/developing-realspace-discourse-student-centered/2953

Women Can't Win: Gender Irony and the E-Politics of The Biggest Loser

Michael S. Bruner, Karissa Valineand Berenice Ceja (2016). *International Journal of E-Politics (pp. 16-36)*. www.irma-international.org/article/women-cant-win/152821

Mobile Technology and Social Media Literacy: Exploring Architecture and Interior Architecture Students' Practices

A.Tolga Ilterand Pelin Karacar (2023). Research Anthology on Applying Social Networking Strategies to Classrooms and Libraries (pp. 434-449).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobile-technology-and-social-media-literacy/312934

Grassroots Innovation for Human Development: Exploring the Potential of Participatory Video

Alejandra Boni, Monique Leivas, Teresa De La Fuenteand Sergio Belda-Miquel (2016). *International Journal of E-Politics (pp. 26-39).*

www.irma-international.org/article/grassroots-innovation-for-human-development/171177

Web 2.0 and Learning: A Closer Look at Transactional Control Model in E-Learning

Bolanle A. Olaniranand Indi M. Williams (2010). *Educational Social Software for Context-Aware Learning: Collaborative Methods and Human Interaction (pp. 23-37).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-learning-closer-look-transactional/38157