

Chapter VII

Complex-Valued Symmetric Radial Basis Function Network for Beamforming

Sheng Chen

University of Southampton, UK

ABSTRACT

The complex-valued radial basis function (RBF) network proposed by Chen et al. (1994) has found many applications for processing complex-valued signals, in particular, for communication channel equalization and signal detection. This complex-valued RBF network, like many other existing RBF modeling methods, constitutes a black-box approach that seeks typically a sparse model representation extracted from the training data. Adopting black-box modeling is appropriate, if no a priori information exists regarding the underlying data generating mechanism. However, a fundamental principle in practical data modelling is that if there exists a priori information concerning the system to be modeled it should be incorporated in the modeling process. Many complex-valued signal processing problems, particularly those encountered in communication signal detection, have some inherent symmetric properties. This contribution adopts a grey-box approach to complex-valued RBF modeling and develops a complex-valued symmetric RBF (SRBF) network model. The application of this SRBF network is demonstrated using nonlinear beamforming assisted detection for multiple-antenna aided wireless systems that employ complex-valued modulation schemes. Two training algorithms for this complex-valued SRBF network are proposed. The first method is based on a modified version of the cluster-variation enhanced clustering algorithm, while the second method is derived by modifying the orthogonal-forward-selection procedure based on Fisher ratio of class separability measure. The effectiveness of the proposed complex-valued SRBF network and the efficiency of the two training algorithms are demonstrated in nonlinear beamforming application.

INTRODUCTION

The radial basis function (RBF) network is a popular artificial neural network (ANN) architecture that has found wide-ranging applications in many diverse fields of engineering, see for example, (Chen *et al.*, 1990; Leonard & Kramer, 1991; Chen *et al.*, 1993; Caiti & Parisini, 1994; Gorinevsky *et al.*, 1996; Cha & Kassam, 1996; Rosenblum & Davis, 1996; Refaee *et al.*, 1999; Muraki *et al.*, 2001; Mukai, *et al.*, 2002; Su *et al.*, 2002; Li *et al.*, 2004; Lee & Choi, 2004; Ng *et al.*, 2004; Oyang *et al.*, 2005; Acir *et al.*, 2005; Tan *et al.*, 2005). The RBF method is a clas-

sical numerical technique for nonlinear functional interpolation with real-valued data (Powell, 1987). A renewed interest in the RBF method coincided with a recent resurgence in the field of ANNs. Connections between the RBF method and the ANN was made and the RBF model was re-interpreted as a one-hidden-layer feedforward network (Broomhead & Lowe, 1988; Poggio & Girosi, 1990). Specifically, by adopting the ANN interpretation, a RBF model can be considered as a processing structure consisting of a hidden layer and an output layer. Each node in the hidden layer has a radially symmetric response around a node parameter vector called a centre, with the hidden node's response shape determined by the chosen basis function as well as a node width parameter, while the output layer is a set of linear combiners with linear connection weights.

The parameters of the RBF network include its centre vectors and variances or covariance matrices of the basis functions as well as the weights that connect the RBF nodes to the network output. All the parameters of a RBF network can be learned together via nonlinear optimisation using the gradient based algorithms (Chen *et al.*, 1990a; An *et al.*, 1993; McLoone *et al.*, 1998; Karayiannis *et al.*, 2003; Peng *et al.*, 2003), the evolutionary algorithms (Whitehead & Choate, 1994; Whitehead, 1996; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2003) or the expectation-maximisation algorithm (Yang & Chen, 1998; Mak & Kung, 2000). Generally, learning based on such a nonlinear approach is computationally expensive and may encounter the problem of local minima. Additionally, the network structure or the number of RBF nodes has to be determined via other means, typically based on cross validation. Alternatively, clustering algorithms can be applied to find the RBF centre vectors as well as the associated basis function variances (Moody & Darken, 1989; Chen *et al.*, 1992; Chen, 1995; Uykan, 2003). This leaves the RBF weights to be determined by the usual linear least squares solution. Again, the number of the clusters has to be determined via other means, such as cross validation. One of the most popular approaches for constructing RBF networks however is to formulate the problem as a linear learning one by considering the training input data points as candidate RBF centres and employing a common variance for every RBF node. A parsimonious RBF network is then identified using the orthogonal least squares (OLS) algorithm (Chen *et al.*, 1989; Chen *et al.*, 1991; Chen *et al.*, 1999; Chen *et al.*, 2003; Chen *et al.*, 2004a).

Many practical signal processing applications deal with complex-valued signals and data. This motivates the research in complex-valued ANNs, which have found wide-ranging applications in complex-valued signal processing problems (Uncini *et al.*, 1999; Kim & Adali, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2005; Yang & Bose, 2005; Hirose, 2006). A particular complex-valued ANN architecture proposed by Chen *et al.* (1994) is the complex-valued RBF network, which takes the following form

$$y(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_c} w_i \varphi(\mathbf{x}(k); \mathbf{c}_i, \rho^2), \quad (1)$$

where $y(k) \in \mathbb{C}$ (\mathbb{C} being the field of complex-valued numbers) and $\mathbf{x}(k) \in \mathbb{C}^L$ denote the complex-valued RBF network output and input vector, respectively, N_c denotes the number of RBF units, w_i are the complex-valued RBF weights, $\mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{C}^L$ are the complex-valued RBF centres, ρ^2 is the positive RBF variance, and $\varphi(\bullet)$ is the real-valued radial basis function. When $y(k)$ and w_i are real-valued, this complex-valued RBF network reduces to the special case of the usual real-valued RBF network (Moody & Darken, 1989; Chen *et al.*, 1991). Each RBF unit in the complex-valued RBF network (1) can be interpreted as some underlying probability density function (Chen *et al.*, 1994). Such a physical interpretation makes this complex-valued RBF network a powerful tool in processing complex-valued signals, particularly, in applications to communication channel equalisation and signal detection (Chen *et al.*, 1994a; Cha & Kassam, 1995; Gan *et al.*, 1999; Deng *et al.*, 2002; Botoca & Budura, 2006).

Like many existing neural network models, this complex-valued RBF network, however, constitutes a black-box approach that seeks a sparse model representation extracted from the training data. Adopting black-box modelling is appropriate, if no *a priori* information exists regarding the underlying data generating mechanism. However, if there exists *a priori* information concerning the system to be modelled, it should be incorporated in the modelling process. The use of available prior knowledge in data modelling often leads to an improved performance. For real-valued signal processing applications, it has been recognised that many real-life phenomena exhibit inherent symmetry and these properties are hard to infer accurately from noisy data with the aid of black-box real-valued neural network models. However, by imposing appropriate symmetry on the model's structure,

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/complex-valued-symmetric-radial-basis/6768

Related Content

Modelling Analysis and Simulation for Reliability Prediction for Thermal Power System

Vikram Kumar Kamboj, Kamalpreet Sandhu and Shamik Chatterjee (2020). *AI Techniques for Reliability Prediction for Electronic Components* (pp. 136-163).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/modelling-analysis-and-simulation-for-reliability-prediction-for-thermal-power-system/240495

Spiking Neural Networks for Robotic Applications

J. Dhanasekar, R. Monisha, K S. Tamilselvan, V. Seethalakshmi and Gokul Basavaraj G (2023). *Neuromorphic Computing Systems for Industry 4.0* (pp. 319-336).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/spiking-neural-networks-for-robotic-applications/326843

Use of Eggshell as a Partial Replacement for Sand in Concrete Used in Biomedical Applications

Sebastin S. and Murali Ram Kumar S. M. (2020). *Deep Neural Networks for Multimodal Imaging and Biomedical Applications* (pp. 256-264).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/use-of-eggshell-as-a-partial-replacement-for-sand-in-concrete-used-in-biomedical-applications/259498

Ultra High Frequency Trigonometric Higher Order Neural Networks for Time Series Data Analysis

Ming Zhang (2009). *Artificial Higher Order Neural Networks for Economics and Business* (pp. 133-163).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ultra-high-frequency-trigonometric-higher/5281

Comprehensive Modelling of ANN

Meghna Babubhai Patel, Jagruti N. Patel and Upasana M. Bhilota (2021). *Applications of Artificial Neural Networks for Nonlinear Data* (pp. 18-29).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/comprehensive-modelling-of-ann/262907