

Chapter 82

Research Profiles: Prolegomena to a New Perspective on Innovation Management

Gretchen Jordan

Sandia National Laboratories, USA

Jonathon Mote

Southern Illinois University, USA

Jerald Hage

University of Maryland, USA

ABSTRACT

Despite the increasing importance of the management of research for innovation, the range of differences among types of research, as well as projects and programs, is not adequately captured in current theories of either project or organizational innovation. This chapter offers preliminary discussions for a new perspective about alternative styles of management for different types of research, whether basic, applied, product development, manufacturing, quality control or marketing. Based on these discussions, the chapter proposes a framework for a new perspective of innovation management, called Research Profiles, which is derived from a literature review and extensive field research. This new perspective delineates four research profiles on the basis of two dimensions of research objectives and two dimensions of research tasks. In matching the research objectives and tasks, we identify inherent dilemmas that managers must address and this developing perspective suggests some appropriate research management approaches.

INTRODUCTION

Despite the central importance of scientific and technological research, including product development, for national competitiveness and security, at present there is not an adequate theory about the

appropriate managerial styles needed to address alternative kinds of research objectives at the research project, program or inter-organizational level. Organizational innovation theory stemming from Burns and Stalker (1961) typically focuses on the entire organization and, we would suggest, one organizational model (the organic organization), rather than recognizing the existence of differ-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-1945-6.ch082

ent kinds of research work. More critically, the organic model does not include either the concept of complexity (Brown & Eisenhardt, 1995; Hage, 1999) or external networks of expertise, which are precisely the ones that are increasingly important in the growth of knowledge network communities (Mohrman, Galbraith, & Monge, 2004; Shinn, 2002), and the spread of inter-organizational relationships (Alter & Hage, 1993; Hagedoorn & Duysters, 2002; Powell, 1998; Powell, Koput, & Smith-Doerr, 1996; Van De Ven & Polley, 1992). Indeed, in the organizational innovation literature there is only one study that examines the structure and performance of research laboratories and it does not include external relationships of any kind (Hull, 1988).

Although we are beginning to see an increasing number of studies of research labs (Brown, 1997; Joly & Mangematin, 1996; Jordan, Streit, & Matiassek, 2003; Menke, 1997), inter-organizational alliances (Gomes-Casseres, 1996) and a few studies of research consortia (Browing, Beyer, and Shelter, 1995), the fact remains that none of these studies have connected the measurement of scientific and technological research objectives, to the nature of the research tasks and their appropriate managerial styles. The research literatures cited above stand largely in isolation, often ignoring other kinds of research work. Specifically, the level of the project is overlooked, which is a smaller unit than the organization, the whole organization, and inter-organizational networks of various kinds. Indeed, what makes a proposed theory of management styles necessary is the considerable range in the ways scientific and technology research is organized. While many small research projects funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH), such as those found in academia, tend to be the standard structure, a considerable amount of research is conducted in large-scale organizations and programs, such as mission agencies like the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the National Oceanic and

Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), as well as large scale inter-organizational research programs such as the Human Genome Project. For the same reason, the new and growing literature on projects (Brown and Eisenstadt, 1995) overlooks what might be called “Big Science” as represented in the research conducted at the large national and international laboratories such as Argonne in the US and CERN in Switzerland.

Further, as Clarke (2002) has discussed in comprehensive detail, the management of a large number of researchers is very different from the typical management issues involved in contemporary firms or public bureaucracies. Among other differences are the oft-cited assertions that researchers are more motivated by intellectual curiosity than monetary compensation, the longer and more uncertain time horizons for successful objectives, and, perhaps most importantly, work that is seldom standardized and difficult to evaluate.

While a theory about the diversity of research management styles would necessarily differ from more general theories of organizations, the logic in the construction of our perspective is basically the same. First, one must specify particular kinds of research objectives and identify the potential trade-offs. Then one must also distinguish different kinds of research work and tasks. Finally, the management styles appropriate for the linking of the typology of research tasks with a typology of research objectives at the levels of project, program and inter-organization networks have to be determined. In this chapter, we present our argument for a diversity of research management styles in three sections. First, we provide a more detailed justification of the need for our perspective on of management styles. Second, we specify a typology of research work and a typology of research objectives and provide a theoretical linkage between the two. Finally, we offer our proposed view of Research Profiles and discuss the managerial styles necessitated by the kinds of management challenges that are presented in

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/research-profiles-prolegomena-new-perspective/69353

Related Content

Optimization Methods in Continuous Improvement Models: A Relational Review

Brian J. Galli (2019). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 46-59).

www.irma-international.org/article/optimization-methods-in-continuous-improvement-models/222795

Integration of Demand-Side Management Programs and Supply-Side Alternatives for Decentralized Energy Planning: An Analysis of Energy Import and Export Effects

Masoud Rabbaniand Mahdi Dolatkah (2016). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 37-54).

www.irma-international.org/article/integration-of-demand-side-management-programs-and-supply-side-alternatives-for-decentralized-energy-planning/159084

Addressing Privacy in Traditional and Cloud-Based Systems

Christos Kalloniatis, Evangelia Kavakliand Stefanos Gritzalis (2014). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 14-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/addressing-privacy-in-traditional-and-cloud-based-systems/105484

Interdisciplinary Game-Theoretic Approach to Trust Modeling

Piotr Coftaand Hazel Lacohee (2014). *International Journal of Applied Industrial Engineering* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/interdisciplinary-game-theoretic-approach-to-trust-modeling/105483

A LabVIEW-Based Remote Laboratory: Architecture and Implementation

Yuqiu You (2013). *Industrial Engineering: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 726-742).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/labview-based-remote-laboratory/69312