

Chapter 5

Workplace Incivility in Schools

Thomas G. Reio Jr.

Florida International University, USA

Stephanie M. Reio

University of Louisville, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the prevalence of coworker and supervisor incivility in the context of K-12 schools and incivility's possible link to teachers' commitment to the school and turnover intent. The data were collected via surveys from 94 middle school teachers in the United States. Results indicated that 85% of the teachers experienced coworker incivility over the past year; 71% experienced supervisor incivility. MANOVA results suggested no statistically significant differences in incivility by gender or ethnicity. Hierarchical regression results suggested that supervisor incivility was associated negatively with commitment and positively associated with turnover intent. Coworker incivility was not a significant predictor in the regression equations. Macro- and micro-level human resource strategies are offered as possible tools to lessen the likelihood of uncivil behavior.

INTRODUCTION

Workplace incivility is a growing challenge for all types of organizations (Porath & Pearson, 2010). In recognition of this mounting problem, researchers have investigated its prevalence in a broad range of organizational contexts, yet surprisingly little in K-12 schools. The lack of scholarly inquiry in this area is troubling considering the almost overwhelming difficulties facing the teaching

profession (Fox & Stallworth, 2010). For example, in our current lean economic times, schools are receiving less financial support, yet calls for accountability remain (Fox & Stallworth, 2010). Teachers continue to be under mounting pressure from a variety of sources to increase student learning performance (e.g., through federal and state legislative mandates, demanding parents, society in general), with little obvious relief in sight (Steffgen & Ewen, 2007). Educational policy makers and superintendents, and in-school instructional and administrative (e.g., principal) leaders need

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-2062-9.ch005

to be aware that these stressful contingencies contribute to a school workplace context that may be less than ideal to work, setting the stage for increasing the likelihood of teachers' uncivil behaviors (Waggoner, 2003).

Andersson and Pearson (1999) define workplace incivility as "low intensity deviant behavior with ambiguous intent to harm the target, in violation of workplace norms for mutual respect. Uncivil behaviors are characteristically rude, discourteous, displaying a lack of respect for others" (p. 457). Incivility is not necessarily objective, as it is a reflection of an individual's interpretation about how an action made them feel; in other words, it is defined in the eyes of the beholder (Porath & Pearson, 2010). Scholars have cited several antecedents to uncivil behavior such as lack of establishing positive relationships in the organization (i.e., not learning to fit in with coworkers), negative affect (e.g., anxiety, frustration, anger), and demographic dissimilarity (e.g., age, gender) as some of the likely predictors of uncivil behavior in the workplace (Baron & Neuman, 1996; Chen & Eastman, 1997; Pearson, Andersson, & Porath, 2000). Numerous labels have been assigned to uncivil behaviors such as condescending, sarcastic, inconsiderate, rude, and insulting, among others (Andersson & Pearson, 1999). Increases in workplace incivility have also been associated with organizational outcomes like reduced organizational commitment and job satisfaction, and increased turnover intentions (Laschinger, Leiter, Day, & Gilin, 2009). Workplace incivility can dampen employee productivity and become an economic drain (Porath & Pearson, 2010), and even ruin an organization's reputation (Fox & Stallworth, 2010; Hutton & Gates, 2008). Because few studies have examined workplace incivility and its possible association with organizational outcomes in the context of a K-12 school, the aim of this research was to investigate the frequency of uncivil behavior among teachers and the influence of workplace incivility on teachers' commitment to the school and turnover intentions. Educational

leaders could use new information generated by this research to find ways to reduce the likelihood of uncivil behavior, increase teacher commitment, and decrease turnover intent among teachers at our schools.

REVIEW OF THE BACKGROUND LITERATURE

In this section, we demonstrate how workplace incivility can be associated with intentional acts of workplace aggression and physical violence (Fox & Stallworth, 2010; Lim et al., 2008; Porath & Pearson, 2010). Second, we link workplace incivility to school outcomes like teacher commitment to the school and turnover intentions.

Workplace incivility's link to aggression and physical violence. Workplace incivility is characterized as violating norms of respect, with ambiguous intent to harm, and being of generally low intensity (Andersson & Pearson, 1999). Gossiping, ostracizing, passing blame, taking credit inappropriately, ignoring, and teasing are all forms of disrespectful workplace behaviors that can grow rapidly or spiral into more serious forms of aggression (i.e., intentional harm-doing short of physical violence) and physical violence (Andersson & Pearson, 1999; Fox & Stallworth, 2010; Lim et al., 2008). Thus, leaders and managers should be concerned about workplace incivility because it can lead to more aggressive forms of behavior (Fox & Stallworth, 2010). In May, 2010, for example, a Transportation Security Administration worker at Miami International Airport was arrested for allegedly assaulting a coworker with a police baton who had had been teasing him about the highly personal results of an inadvertent full body scan at the airport (Hunter, 2010). This incident is emblematic of how seemingly harmless behaviors with ambiguous intent to harm can spiral out of control to produce acts of physical violence. Physical violence refers to more severe forms of workplace aggression, such as physical

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/workplace-incivility-schools/69440

Related Content

Situated Learning Meets Community Needs: Anatomy of a Community-Based Learning Project on Chicago's West Side

Gabriele I.E. Strohschen, David LaBuda, Pauline Scott, Jasmine Dash, Gail Debbsand Jeff Phillips (2019). *Competency-Based and Social-Situational Approaches for Facilitating Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 1-28).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/situated-learning-meets-community-needs/227976

Heterogeneous vs. Homogeneous Groups: Methodology for Class Instruction for Post-Secondary Business Education Courses

Theodore E. Davis (2012). *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology* (pp. 40-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/heterogeneous-homogeneous-groups/62982

Improving the Workforce in Kazakhstan through Distance Learning Technologies

Judith E. Parkerand Gainiya Tazhina (2013). *Handbook of Research on Technologies for Improving the 21st Century Workforce: Tools for Lifelong Learning* (pp. 359-372).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/improving-workforce-kazakhstan-through-distance/70172

The Promise of the Student Electronic Portfolio: A Provost's Perspective

William M. Plater (2006). *Handbook of Research on ePortfolios* (pp. 62-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/promise-student-electronic-portfolio/20301

Teacher Training and Technology: Current Uses and Future Trends

Jeremy Dickerson, Joseph Winslowand Cheng Yuan Lee (2013). *Handbook of Research on Technologies for Improving the 21st Century Workforce: Tools for Lifelong Learning* (pp. 243-256).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teacher-training-technology/70165