

Research Framework for Analyzing the Relationship Between Greening of Suppliers and Green Innovation on Firms' Performance

H. K. Chan, University of East Anglia, UK

T.-Y. Chiou, University of East Anglia, UK

F. Lettice, University of East Anglia, UK

ABSTRACT

In recent years, environmental performance has become part of a company's strategic value. This is partly attributed by the recent regulatory development in this area. For example the WEEE and RoHS directives were enforced by the European Union to require manufacturers to take recycling (and reuse) and selection of material into design consideration. These initiatives exemplify the importance of green supply chain management. Although companies can make use of green supply chain management to create a competitive advantage by generating more business opportunities, improper management of their supply chain activities in this regard may affect the ability to survival. Greening the suppliers is one of the many approaches to "green" a supply chain. In addition, green innovation can help companies pursue green supply chain management and hence improve environmental performance, as product design and process design are important aspects of any supply chains. Nevertheless, a need exists to develop a model to investigate the relationship between these factors (namely, greening of suppliers, green innovation, environmental performance and competitive advantage). The objective of this paper is to develop a research framework for investigating how future research can address these issues. Hypotheses, constructs, and their measures of the model are discussed.

Keywords: Competitive Advantage, Environmental Performance, Green Innovation, Green Supply Chain Management, Supply Chain Activities

INTRODUCTION

Rapid technological advancement has made life more convenient, but has also resulted

in increasingly shorter product life cycles. Consequently, as products are replaced and disposed of more frequently, there are negative impacts on the environment, such as more waste. One of the most effective ways to tackle such environmental problems is to focus on waste

DOI: 10.4018/jal.2012070103

prevention and control at the source through green procurement (Min and Galle, 1997). More importantly, well-designed environmental standards can increase producers' incentives to adopt green product and technological innovation (Shrivastava 1995). As a matter of fact, some of the leading international organisations have developed their own environment management systems and criteria to motivate their suppliers. For example, Sony's "Green Partner Standards" (Sony Corporation, 2009), and HP's supply chain social and environmental responsibility (Hewlett-Packard, 2008). Avery's study found that in 1993 only 40% of 1000 buyers of office equipment and supplies in the UK were taking part in environmental initiatives within their organisation, but the figure had soared to 80% in 1995.

It is therefore becoming very important for organisations to adopt green innovation and implement Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) within their value chain (Steger, 1993). Rao and Holt (2005) conducted empirical research and found a positive relationship between GSCM practices and competitiveness and economic performance. In general, organisations can further reduce production cost and increase their economic efficiency through such initiatives (Porter, 1991). Furthermore, improvement in corporate environmental performance and compliance with environmental regulations can contribute to a company's competitiveness (Bacallen, 2000).

The implementation of GSCM has been found to contribute towards corporate competitiveness and environmental performance by a number of authors (e.g. Rao, 2002; Tucker et al., 2001; Cairncross, 1992; Hart, 1995; Schmidheiny, 1992; Shrivastava, 1995; Porter and Linde, 1995; Vermulen, 2002). GSCM can be broadly classified into external and internal environment management (Rao, 2002). In terms of external environment management, it is related to the greening of suppliers (Bowen et al., 2001; Lloyd, 1994; Rao, 2002; Hamner, 2006; Makower, 1994; Green et al, 1998; Rajagopal and Bernard, 2006). Internal environment management can be reflected by green innovation,

which can also be divided broadly into product and process innovations (Klassen and Whybank, 1999; Porter and Van der Linde, 1995; Hart, 1995; Schmidheiny, 1992). Green innovation has not been addressed well in the green supply chain management literature in spite of the fact that it can create a competitive advantage for firms (Porter and Van der Linde, 1995; Chen et al., 2006). In addition, not many studies have investigated the relationship between the greening of suppliers, green innovation, environmental performance and competitive advantage. The objective of this article is thus to propose a conceptual model, based on a review of relevant literature and performance indicators on the factors discussed above, to identify areas for future research.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. The next section reviews relevant literature on the factors discussed above, namely, the capability of greening the supplier, the capability of green innovation, competitive advantage and environmental performance of firms. Moreover, the indicators of the above factors are reviewed as well. Then, a conceptual framework is proposed which aims to study the relationships between these factors. Finally, the conceptual model and literature review are used to identify where future research is needed in this area.

BACKGROUND: A REVIEW OF KEY FACTORS

Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM)

Recently, industrial practitioners have recognised the concept of GSCM as selecting suitable suppliers, who are qualified to meet the environmental directives or a company's internal green design standards, for enhancing their environmental performance. Cousins et al. (2004) pointed out that this philosophy has become an important aspect of an organisation's strategic plan, but has also had a direct effect on the procurement department or even the whole organisation. Furthermore, there are a growing number of large and multinational

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/research-framework-analyzing-relationship-between/70590

Related Content

Optimizing Routes with Safety and Environmental Criteria in Transportation Management in Spain: A Case Study

Javier Faulin, Fernando Lera-López and Angel A. Juan (2011). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 38-59).

www.irma-international.org/article/optimizing-routes-safety-environmental-criteria/55596

Management of Risks in Export Networks: The Role of Collaboration

Anna-Maija Hietajärvi and Iris Karvonen (2016). *International Journal of Applied Logistics* (pp. 47-63).

www.irma-international.org/article/management-of-risks-in-export-networks/158175

An Intelligent Supply Chain Design for Improving Delivery Reliability

Tobias Mettler, Roberto Pinto and David Raber (2012). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/intelligent-supply-chain-design-improving/65543

Supplier Selection by the Pair of AR-NF-IDEA Models

Reza Saen and Mark Gershon (2010). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management* (pp. 25-41).

www.irma-international.org/article/supplier-selection-pair-idea-models/48511

An Agent-Based Collaborative Negotiation System for Global Manufacturing Supply Chain Management

Jianxin Jiao, Xiao You and Arun Kumar (2006). *Global Integrated Supply Chain Systems* (pp. 243-271).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/agent-based-collaborative-negotiation-system/19246