

## Chapter 8.3

# Enhancing the Process of Knowledge Discovery in Geographic Databases Using Geo-Ontologies

**Vania Bogorny**

*Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil, and Transnational University of Limburg, Belgium*

**Paulo Martins Engel**

*Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil*

**Luis Otavio Alvares**

*Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil*

### **ABSTRACT**

This chapter introduces the problem of mining frequent geographic patterns and spatial association rules from geographic databases. In the geographic domain most discovered patterns are trivial, non-novel, and noninteresting, which simply represent natural geographic associations intrinsic to geographic data. A large amount of natural geographic associations are explicitly represented in geographic database schemas and geo-ontologies, which have not been used so far in frequent geographic pattern mining. Therefore, this chapter presents a novel approach to extract

patterns from geographic databases using geo-ontologies as prior knowledge. The main goal of this chapter is to show how the large amount of knowledge represented in geo-ontologies can be used to avoid the extraction of patterns that are previously known as noninteresting.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Knowledge discovery in databases (KDD) is the nontrivial process of identifying valid, novel, potentially useful and ultimately understandable patterns from data (Fayyad et al., 1996).

In frequent pattern mining (FPM), which is the essential role in mining associations, one of the main problems is the large amount of generated patterns and rules. In geographic databases this problem increases significantly because most discovered patterns include well-known natural associations intrinsic to geographic data. While in transactional databases items are supposed to be independent from each other (e.g., milk, cereal, bread), independently of their meaning, in geographic databases a large amount of data are semantically dependent (e.g., island *within* water).

Geographic dependences are semantic constraints that must hold in geographic databases (GDB) to warrant the consistency of the data (e.g., island must be completely located inside a water body). They are part of the concept of geographic data and are explicitly represented in geo-ontologies. Without considering semantics of geographic data, the same geographic dependences explicitly represented in geo-ontologies and geographic database schemas are unnecessarily extracted by association rule mining algorithms and presented to the user.

Geographic dependences produce two main problems in the process of mining spatial association rules:

- a. **Data preprocessing:** A large computational time is required to preprocess GDB to extract spatial relationships (e.g., *intersection* between districts and water bodies). The spatial join (Cartesian product) operation, required to extract spatial relationships, is the most expensive operation in databases and the processing bottleneck of spatial data analysis and knowledge discovery.
- b. **Frequent pattern and association rule generation:** A large number of patterns and spatial association rules without novel, useful, and interesting knowledge is generated (e.g., *is\_a(Island) → within (Water)*).

Aiming to improve geographic data preprocessing and eliminate well-known geographic dependences in geographic FPM in order to generate more interesting spatial association rules (SAR), this chapter presents a unified framework for FPM considering the semantics of geographic data, using geo-ontologies. While dozens of spatial and nonspatial FPM algorithms define syntactic constraints and different thresholds to reduce the number of patterns and association rules, we consider *semantic knowledge constraints* (Bogorny et al., 2005b), and eliminate the exact sets of geographic objects that produce well-known patterns (Bogorny et al., 2006b, 2006c).

The main objective of this chapter is to show the important role that ontologies can play in the knowledge discovery process using the FPM technique. The focus addresses the use of semantic knowledge stored in ontologies to reduce uninteresting patterns, but not to create ontologies for data mining.

The remainder of the chapter is organized as follows: Section 2 presents some background concepts about geographic data, spatial relationships, spatial integrity constraints, and geo-ontologies. Section 3 introduces the concepts of frequent patterns and spatial association rules, the problem generated by geographic dependences in both data preprocessing and spatial association rule mining, and what has been done so far to alleviate this problem. Section 4 presents a framework to improve geographic data preprocessing and spatial association rule mining using geo-ontologies. Experiments are presented to show the significant reduction in the number of frequent patterns and association rules. Section 5 presents future trends and Section 6 concludes the chapter.

## **BACKGROUND**

Geographic data are real world entities, also called spatial features, which have a location on Earth's surface (Open GIS Consortium, 1999a).

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/enhancing-process-knowledge-discovery-geographic/8044](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/enhancing-process-knowledge-discovery-geographic/8044)

## Related Content

---

### DBDesigner: A Tool for Object-Oriented Database Applications

Shuguang Hong, Joshua Duhland Craig Harris (1992). *Journal of Database Administration* (pp. 3-11).

[www.irma-international.org/article/dbdesigner-tool-object-oriented-database/51105](http://www.irma-international.org/article/dbdesigner-tool-object-oriented-database/51105)

### Logic Databases and Inconsistency Handling

José A. Alonso-Jiménez, Joaquín Borrego-Díaz and Antonia M. Chávez-González (2005). *Encyclopedia of Database Technologies and Applications* (pp. 336-340).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/logic-databases-inconsistency-handling/11169](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/logic-databases-inconsistency-handling/11169)

### The Artist's Move: The Discipline of Dance and Big Data

Kim Grover-Haskin (2018). *Handbook of Research on Big Data Storage and Visualization Techniques* (pp. 782-808).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-artists-move/198786](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-artists-move/198786)

### An Emergent Model of End-users' Acceptance of Enterprise Resource Planning Systems: A Grounded Theory Approach

Fiona Fui-Hoon Nahand Xin Tan (2015). *Journal of Database Management* (pp. 44-66).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-emergent-model-of-end-users-acceptance-of-enterprise-resource-planning-systems/153517](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-emergent-model-of-end-users-acceptance-of-enterprise-resource-planning-systems/153517)

### Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Job Automation: A Review and Integration

Gang Peng and Rahul Bhaskar (2023). *Journal of Database Management* (pp. 1-12).

[www.irma-international.org/article/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-for-job-automation/318455](http://www.irma-international.org/article/artificial-intelligence-and-machine-learning-for-job-automation/318455)