

Chapter 4.5

E-Collaboration as a Tool in the Investigation of Occupational Fraud

Bobby E. Waldrup
University of North Florida, USA

INTRODUCTION

E-collaboration has become a staple of productivity in organizations of all sizes and types in the last decade. As the Fortune 500 companies have now moved to a 100% participation rate in a blended e-mail/instant messenger communication environment, it is estimated that 3% to 6% of the leaders, including such names as General Motors, Microsoft, and Boeing have even instituted official corporate blog sites (Brunner, 2005). As the techniques and uses of e-collaboration become more pronounced in firms, the need for related guidance in the occupational fraud examination field is growing. While both the theoretical and applied e-collaboration literatures are increasing, this is a relatively unexplored area in the field of fraud examination.

Fraud can be widely classified as either fraud *against* or *on behalf* of the organization. While fraud *on behalf* of the organization, such as finan-

cial statement fraud, has made the most sensational headlines recently (i.e., Enron, WorldCom, Arthur Anderson), it is occupational fraud *against* the organization that has the most widespread effects. In its most recent account on occupational fraud (ACFE, 2004), the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners reported that the average organization loses 6% of revenues to occupational fraud and abuse, and these losses cut across virtually every size and type of institution.

The default model typically used for reacting to occupational fraud against the organization follows four traditional steps (Albrecht, McDermott, & Williams, 1994). First, an *incident* occurs. Second, an *investigation* ensues centered around interviewing and document examination. Third, at the end of an investigation, a *resolution* is determined on what action, if any, is to be taken. Finally, the company chooses to *act* (or not act) publicly or legally related to the fraud occurrence.

The purpose of this article is to blend previous research in the fields of e-collaboration and fraud examination. Specifically, compensatory adaptation theory (Kock, 1998) is used as a lens through which to model the optimal use of e-collaboration during the aforementioned second step of the default fraud model; the investigation process of occupational fraud. Finally, examples of investigatory pros and cons are presented to illustrate the model's approach towards local optima usage points.

BACKGROUND

E-Collaboration Research

The use of computer-mediated communication (CMC) has become a widespread tool in the application of work-related tasks (see Trevino, Daft, & Lengel, 1990; Trevino, Webster, & Stein, 2000 for a comprehensive and longitudinal discussion). A range of suppositions have been applied to explain the various dimensions of CMC, and how these facets interact to enhance or detract from task effectiveness. Three theories have developed over the past 40 years which have become a staple in the information delivery literature. These theories of social presence, media richness, and compensatory adaptation are the basis upon which the optimal use of e-collaboration techniques in fraud examination will be explored.

Social presence theory was first put forth in the 1970's by the Communications Studies Group. As described by Short, Williams, and Christie (1976), the theory describes "the degree of salience of the other person in the interaction and the consequent salience (and perceived intimacy and immediacy) of the interpersonal relationships" (p. 1).

Extending this generic interaction, the social presence theory essentially illustrates that differing communications media causes changes in the degree of social presence, and these

changes cause a difference in interaction itself. In the context of CMC, this theory predicts that as a "nonverbal" form of communication, social presence is diminished when compared to face-to-face communication. CMC lacks facial expressions, voice tone, inflection, gaze, posture, dress, and even environmental décor. Therefore, in the a priori, this theory predicts that the use of computer-mediated communication, in any form and to any extent, would lower the effectiveness of a fraud examination which employs it in lieu of a face-to-face investigatory technique.

During the following decade, the theory of social presence was refined and tested by researchers, bringing about a rival though complementary theory of media richness. Media richness theory (Daft & Lengel, 1986) classifies communication media along a "richness" continuum. Daft, Lengel, and Trevino (1987) categorizes "richness" as a media's ability to carry nonverbal cues, convey personality, provide rapid feedback, and support natural language. Like the social presence theory, media richness theory predicts that CMC conveys less "richness" than face-to-face communication. Therefore, this reinforces the notion that using CMC as a fraud investigation technique should produce suboptimal effectiveness at every level of incorporation.

Sallnas, Rasmussen-Grohn, and Sjoström (2000) illustrates that, while social presence theory and media richness theory were developed during the pre-Internet era of communication, they still widely influenced CMC research. However, a recently growing body of empirical studies has failed to support this linear relationship between richness/presence and task effectiveness (Lee, 1994; Markus, 1994; Ngwenyama & Lee, 1997). These studies illustrate that users may actually choose "lean" communication media for secondary reasons, and will modify their behavior independent of the richness/presence level in a compensating manner (Kock, 2004).

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaboration-tool-investigation-occupational-fraud/8822

Related Content

Towards Understanding the Successful Adoption of Blog-Based Knowledge Management Systems: A Socio-Psychological Approach

Joowon Park, Sooran Joand Junghoon Moon (2010). *Handbook of Research on Social Interaction Technologies and Collaboration Software: Concepts and Trends* (pp. 486-495).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-understanding-successful-adoption-blog/36055

Folksonomy: Creating Metadata through Collaborative Tagging

Stefan Bitzer, Lars Thoroeand Matthias Schumann (2010). *Handbook of Research on Social Interaction Technologies and Collaboration Software: Concepts and Trends* (pp. 147-157).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/folksonomy-creating-metadata-through-collaborative/36026

Effects of the Drewlite CSCL Platform on Students' Learning Outcomes

Omid Noroozi, Harm Biemans, Maria C. Busstra, Martin Mulder, Vitaliy Popovand Mohammad Chizari (2012). *Collaborative and Distributed E-Research: Innovations in Technologies, Strategies and Applications* (pp. 276-289).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effects-drewlite-cscl-platform-students/63513

Understanding Effective E-Collaboration Through Virtual Distance

Karen Sobel Lojeskiand Richard R. Reilly (2008). *Encyclopedia of E-Collaboration* (pp. 60-666).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-effective-collaboration-through-virtual/12495

UGT-Based Study of SM Use Among Undergraduates in UAE and Kuwait: Case Study

Badreya Nasser Al-Jenaibiand Ibrahim Ahmad AlKandari (2021). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 36-59).

www.irma-international.org/article/ugt-based-study-of-sm-use-among-undergraduates-in-uae-and-kuwait/265268