Chapter 5.7 A Tool for Assisting Group Decision-Making for Consensus Outcomes in Organizations

Faezeh Afshar

University of Ballarat, Australia

John Yearwood

University of Ballarat, Australia

Andrew Stranieri

University of Ballarat, Australia

ABSTRACT

This chapter introduces an approach, ConSULT (Consensus based on a Shared Understanding of a Leading Topic), to enhance group decisionmaking processes within organizations. ConSULT provides a computer-mediated framework to allow argumentation, collection and evaluation of discussion and group decision-making. This approach allows for the articulation of all reasoning for and against propositions in a deliberative process that leads to cooperative decision-making. The chapter argues that this approach can enhance group decision-making and can be used in conjunction with any computational intelligence assistance to further enhance its outcome. The approach is particularly applicable in an asynchronous and anonymous environment.

INTRODUCTION

Current computer-mediated communication (CMC) systems allow for informal interaction of two or more people with limited computational intelligence (CI) support. Alternatively, computational intelligence systems facilitate only elementary collaboration. The objective of this chapter is to describe the development of a CMC system that integrates computational techniques into normal social interactions so that participants are an integral part of the problem-solving process and automation of an outcome is not merely the result of inferences by an automated system.

There is no suggestion that the use of automated reasoning in decision-making is not appropriate, but rather the choice of what to automate should take into account human capabilities as well as limitations. We agree with Woods (1986) that desired systems are those where humans have clear authority, can intervene flexibly, and are engaged actively in informal decision-making. This is particularly the case with decisions that involve consensus among people within an organisational context.

Consensus decision-making in organisations is regularly conducted through discussion and debate, yet underlying assumptions and reasoning invested, is often lost, implicit, or not expressed clearly. Often problem definition, implicit assumptions, and varying approaches as to the determination of a resolution, are some of the causes of conflict in decision-making. This could strongly influence both the decision-making process and its outcome.

This chapter describes a framework called ConSULT. The framework derives from argumentation theories and is used to assist groups within organizations to reach consensus decisions. Further, the framework provides a natural structure for the meaningful inclusion of computational intelligence techniques that support participants in formulating their views and enables observers such as managers to track the discussion to discover reasoning trends.

Reaching a consensus decision based on shared understanding in ConSULT occurs through the articulation of all reasoning for and against all propositions in a deliberative argumentation process that allows free participation and contribution in a cooperative decision-making environment. ConSULT allows the level of consensus to be specified and uses a Borda count calculation of votes to determine a consensus outcome.

Group meetings are an important aspect of decision-making in any organization. Among the many reasons for this are those listed by Drucker (1989) as the sharing of information by participants, and learning from the knowledge, experience and expertise of others in the group. Turoff and Hiltz (1996) also found that decision-

making is enhanced if the views of more than one individual are considered. Ocker, Hiltz, Turoff, and Fjermestad (1995) found that the contribution of knowledge of the various group members can have a positive impact on outcome decisions.

Siegel, Dubrovsky, Kiesler, and McGuire (1986) suggest that increased participation in discussion and arguments present different viewpoints, which lead to greater modification in the opinions of the individuals. In an environment in which free participation is encouraged and all contributions are valued, the interaction of open and creative ideas could trigger new thoughts and lead to better decisions. The term "consensus" has been used in many group decision-making activities for centuries. It is becoming a popular democratic form of decision-making. A consensus decision is usually the outcome solution that starts as proposals are initially put forth and evolves to become an outcome that needs to be accepted by the whole group. Consensus decision-making is a central element of the ConSULT framework and is discussed in some detail in the next section

Consensus Decision-Making

Consensus is based on *compromise* and the ability to find *common ground*, which should take into account and validate each participant's point of view (Habermas, 1990). Habermas' Discourse Ethics distinguishes the following requirements for a consensus in determining norms:

- Principle of universalisation: This sets
 the conditions for the equality of rights
 and freedom for all the participants in the
 discussion of proposed norms.
- Equality of influence. Participants to a group discussion are equally influential. This also assumes that those affected can accept the consequences of their decisions.
- Concern for the common good: Decisions are made by taking into consideration the needs, interests, and feelings of all others influenced by the norm.

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/tool-assisting-group-decision-making/8841

Related Content

Impression Formation in Computer-Mediated Communication and Making a Good (Virtual) Impression

Jamie S. Switzer (2009). *E-Collaboration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1362-1373).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/impression-formation-computer-mediated-communication/8869

Flexible Crowd-Driven Decision Making in Times of Crisis

Mohammed Benali, Abdessamed Réda Ghomari, Leila Zemmouchi-Ghomariand Mohammed Lazar (2021). E-Collaboration Technologies and Strategies for Competitive Advantage Amid Challenging Times (pp. 1-27).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/flexible-crowd-driven-decision-making-in-times-of-crisis/280049

The Influence of Information Technology on Organizational Behavior: Study of Identity Challenges in Virtual Teams

Babak Sohrabi, Aryan Gholipourand Behnam Amiri (2011). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 19-34).*

www.irma-international.org/article/influence-information-technology-organizational-behavior/53189

Investigating Influences Among Individuals and Groups in a Collaborative Learning Setting Kyparisia A. Papanikolaouand Evangelia Gouli (2013). *International Journal of e-Collaboration (pp. 9-25).* www.irma-international.org/article/investigating-influences-among-individuals-groups/75210

The Role of CSCL Pedagogical Patterns as Mediating Artefacts for Repurposing Open Educational Resources

Gráinne Conole, Patrick McAndrewand Yannis Dimitriadis (2011). *Techniques for Fostering Collaboration in Online Learning Communities: Theoretical and Practical Perspectives (pp. 206-223).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-cscl-pedagogical-patterns-mediating/46914