# Chapter 7 Preservice Teachers' Knowledge Construction with Technology

George Zhou University of Windsor, Canada

Judy Xu University of Windsor, Canada

## ABSTRACT

Today's teachers are expected to use digital technologies in their teaching. However, teacher education programs do not yet effectively develop teachers' capabilities to teach with technology. In order to search for best approaches, this chapter starts with an epistemological discussion on knowledge, and then moves to a more specific discussion about the nature of preservice teachers' learning about using technology to teach. Using the framework of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge, the chapter argues that methods courses of a teacher education program are the key space where preservice teachers can be trained to use technology in subject teaching. Particularly, the Microteaching Lesson Study approach in methods courses the arguments and articulates the success and challenges of the Microteaching Lesson Study approach.

## INTRODUCTION

Integrating technology in teaching has become a highly recommended practice for school teachers. This can be verified by the international movement of the development and revision of educational technology standards for teachers (ISTE, 2008; Zhang, 2007). Teacher education standards and curriculum policy documents have also explicitly suggested teachers use technology in teaching school subjects (National Science Teachers Association, 2003; Ontario Ministry of Education, 2008). Unfortunately, although teacher education programs have implemented various measures to develop preservice teachers' capacity to use technology (Kay, 2006), there are continuous

studies reporting that preservice teachers and beginning teachers are not well prepared in this area (Kay, 2006; Pope, Hare, & Howard, 2002; Selinger, 2001; Zhou, Zhang, & Li, 2012). In this context, it becomes a timely and serious issue to re-examine what teacher education programs have been doing and search for effective paths for teacher preparation. To this end, we need to understand the nature of preservice teachers' learning. On one hand, preservice teachers are learners just as the students from other majors on a university campus. On the other hand, they are different since preservice teachers learn how to teach subjects rather than the subjects themselves. The picture gets even more complex when digital technology is brought into subject teaching. What type of knowledge do preservice teachers actually need to develop?

To answer this question, and others, this chapter starts with a discussion of *knowledge* and explores how digital technologies can help with its development. This is followed by an examination of the nature of preservice teachers' learning about using technology to teach. With this background, we consider methods courses as the effective context for developing teaching-with-technology skills. An exploratory study of a teacher education program contextualizes this argument.

## BACKGROUND

### What is Knowledge?

When my son Albert was about 16 months old, my daughters had successfully taught him to drink using a straw. One day, my wife and I observed him attempting to drink milk using a Chinese chopstick. While we were laughing at his cuteness, I commented that this was a mere mechanical imitation, which hints that Albert did not understand the theory behind drinking with a straw. However, my wife disagreed. She thought Albert was so smart that he transferred what he learned about the straw to another object with a similar shape.

The dispute between my wife and me reflects the difficulty for people to agree when talking about knowledge and learning. Actually, philosophers have been working hard on providing a definition for knowledge for thousands of years, but the argument still exists today. The classic definition of knowledge as justified truth entails three conditions of knowledge: truth, belief, and justification. This definition has received a great amount of attention from modern epistemologists, particularly in the late 20<sup>th</sup> Century; unfortunately no analysis of knowledge has been widely accepted (Ichikawa & Steup, 2012).

Despite the existing debate about the definition of knowledge, many of us are content to operate within a more general notion of what knowledge means, without examining it explicitly. According to Denning (2009, para. 1) knowledge is "the fact or condition of knowing something with familiarity gained through experience or association...the fact or condition of being aware of something...the circumstance or condition of apprehending truth or fact through reasoning... [or]the fact or condition of having information or of being learned." Thus, knowledge has different levels. Some knowledge refers to awareness of something or possession of information about it; another type of knowledge links to reasoning and processing information. There is another layer of knowledge: action. Knowledge is considered as "the ideas or understandings which an entity possesses that are used to take effective action to achieve the entity's goal(s)" (ibid). This definition of knowledge points out that knowledge is specific to the entity which created it. This active knowledge informs an individual's actions towards specific goals.

Anderson, Krathwohl, Airasian, et al. (2001) categorize four types of knowledge: factual, conceptual, procedural, and metacognitive. Factual 14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/preservice-teachers-knowledge-constructionwith-technology/94170

## **Related Content**

#### Increased Engagement: Exponential Impact on School Library

Susan Kowalski (2018). Student Engagement and Participation: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1480-1491). www.irma-international.org/chapter/increased-engagement/183575

#### Deepening Engagement: The Intimate Flow of Online Interactions

Anita Chadha (2019). International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 32-47). www.irma-international.org/article/deepening-engagement/228971

## Energizing Interdisciplinarity: Addressing Army Energy Use through Curricular Reform at West Point

Bruce Keith (2013). Cases on Interdisciplinary Research Trends in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics: Studies on Urban Classrooms (pp. 157-198). www.irma-international.org/chapter/energizing-interdisciplinarity-addressing-army-energy/70339

## Making Online Bulletin Board Dialogues Work: Conversation Design for Building Knowledge Networks Through Reflective Practices

Gülsün Kurubacak (2008). Handbook of Conversation Design for Instructional Applications (pp. 161-176). www.irma-international.org/chapter/making-online-bulletin-board-dialogues/19383

#### E-Commerce Models and Consumer Concerns

Richard Peterson (2008). *Encyclopedia of Information Technology Curriculum Integration (pp. 259-264).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/commerce-models-consumer-concerns/16714