

Chapter 2

Informed Democracy: Information Experiences during the 2012 Queensland Election

Insa Haidn

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Helen Partridge

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Christine Yates

Queensland University of Technology, Australia

ABSTRACT

This chapter presents the preliminary findings of a qualitative study exploring people's information experiences during the 2012 Queensland State election in Australia. Six residents of South East Queensland who were eligible to vote in the state election participated in a semi-structured interview. The interviews revealed five themes that depict participants' information experience during the election: information sources, information flow, personal politics, party politics, and sense making. Together these themes represent what is experienced as information, how information is experienced, as well as contextual aspects that were unique to voting in an election. The study outlined here is one in an emerging area of enquiry that has explored information experience as a research object. This study has revealed that people's information experiences are rich, complex, and dynamic, and that information experience as a construct of scholarly inquiry provides deep insights into the ways in which people relate to their information worlds. More studies exploring information experience within different contexts are needed to help develop our theoretical understanding of this important and emerging construct.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-5158-6.ch002

INTRODUCTION

Enrolling to vote and voting is compulsory for every Australian citizen over 18 years. In March 2013, 91.2% of eligible Australians were enrolled to vote (Australian Electoral Commission (AEC), 2013). Since the introduction of compulsory voting in 1924, the turnout at Australian elections has never fallen below 90% (Evans, 2006). The AEC (n.d., p. 2) notes that “citizens have the right and the responsibility to enrol and vote” because “voting in elections is a powerful way for citizens to have a say in the decision-making which affects their lives.” Whilst a body of literature has begun to explore people’s voting activities from the perspective of communication studies (Chen, 2008), human information behavior (Moody, 2011), psychology (Kam & Utych, 2011) and new media (Burns & Burgess, 2010), very little research has investigated this event from the perspective of people’s information experience. Information experience has recently become a construct of interest within library and information research (Bruce, Partridge, Hughes, & Davis, in press). Studies exploring information experience direct attention to aspects such as what is experienced as information, how information is experienced, the outcome of the information experience, and the broader context in which the experience occurs. Information experience research allows a broad understanding and interpretation of people’s engagement and interaction with their information environment (Bruce & Partridge, 2011). The focus on experience takes into account the interrelations between people and their broader environments in a manner which considers people and their world as inseparable. The research presented in this chapter fills this gap by investigating people’s information experiences during the 2012 Queensland state election. The chapter first provides the background to the study, by introducing existing literature that has explored how people engage with information as part of voting activities. Next the chapter outlines the current study, providing details of

the method, the participants, data collection and analysis as well as presenting the study’s findings. The chapter concludes with a discussion of how the research outcomes relate to current literature, the study’s practical and theoretical implications, and suggests some possible directions for future research.

BACKGROUND

It has been argued that without a basic understanding of differences in policy between candidates and parties “the public will be unable to cast its ballots wisely and, hence, unable to hold elected leaders accountable for their actions” (Craig, Kane, & Gainous, 2005, p. 483). Eveland, Hayes, Shah, and Kwak (2005, p. 428) echo this sentiment stating that “knowledge of such information is important for citizens to make informed decisions.” How then do voters select, gather and use political information that is available to them? There has been a plethora of research in the political arena, giving some insight into the information sources used by citizens to inform their voting decisions, and factors that affect certain aspects of voting such as perceived knowledge, political self efficacy and commitment to vote. This section will briefly review some of the findings of research that has been undertaken in this field.

Much has been uncovered about the sources that citizens report using to gather information to inform their vote. For example, Lusoli (2005) reported that 78% of Europeans surveyed reported receiving election information from television or radio broadcasts, 60% read newspaper articles, and 46% discussed political issues with family and friends. Norris and Curtice (2007) found similar results for British citizens: 51% used television or radio broadcasts for their political information gathering, 47% read the newspaper, and 46% discussed the election with friends and family. While conducting focus group interviews with college students in the United States, Wells and

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/informed-democracy/99950

Related Content

Connection, Collaboration, and Community: Creative Commons

Madhuri Tikam (2018). *International Journal of Library and Information Services* (pp. 30-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/connection-collaboration-and-community/198402

Building a Scholarly Network in Learning Communities at the University of Nebraska – Lincoln

Charlene Maxey-Harris and Lorna M. Dawes (2015). *Innovative Solutions for Building Community in Academic Libraries* (pp. 16-37).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-a-scholarly-network-in-learning-communities-at-the-university-of-nebraska--lincoln/130829

Challenges to Libraries During a Health Crisis and a Way Forward to Deal With These Challenges

Muneeba Saleem, Waseem Ul Hameed, Bushra Anwer, Shafqat Ali Niaz, Sitara Bibi and Shazma Razzaq (2021). *Handbook of Research on Library Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic* (pp. 248-265).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/challenges-to-libraries-during-a-health-crisis-and-a-way-forward-to-deal-with-these-challenges/272316

Zen and the Art of Disaster Planning: Collaboration Challenges in Library Disaster Plan Design and Execution

Alison Verplaetse, Paul Mascareñas and Kimberly O'Neill (2016). *Handbook of Research on Disaster Management and Contingency Planning in Modern Libraries* (pp. 96-119).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/zen-and-the-art-of-disaster-planning/135187

ICT Waste Management in Federal University Libraries in Nigeria: A Survey Report

Blessing Babawale Amusan and Abdulwahab Olanrewaju Issa (2022). *Handbook of Research on Emerging Trends and Technologies in Librarianship* (pp. 22-33).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ict-waste-management-in-federal-university-libraries-in-nigeria/295186